

On the R -matrix realization of Yangians and their representations

D. Arnaudon, A. Molev and E. Ragoucy

Abstract

We study the Yangians $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ associated with the simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{a}$ of type B , C or D . The algebra $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ can be regarded as a quotient of the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{a})$ whose defining relations are written in an R -matrix form. In this paper we are concerned with the algebraic structure and representations of the algebra $X(\mathfrak{a})$. We prove an analog of the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem for $X(\mathfrak{a})$ and show that the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ can be realized as a subalgebra of $X(\mathfrak{a})$. Furthermore, we give an independent proof of the classification theorem for the finite-dimensional irreducible representations of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ which implies the corresponding theorem of Drinfeld for the Yangians $Y(\mathfrak{a})$. We also give explicit constructions for all fundamental representation of the Yangians.

A.M.

School of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia
 alexm@maths.usyd.edu.au

E.R.

LAPTH, Chemin de Bellevue, BP 110
F-74941 Annecy-le-Vieux cedex, France
 ragoucy@lapp.in2p3.fr

1 Introduction

For any simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ the corresponding Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ is a canonical deformation of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{a}[x])$, $\mathfrak{a}[x] = \mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathbb{C}[x]$ in the class of Hopf algebras; see Drinfeld [10, 11, 12]. In accordance to Drinfeld, each Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ has at least three different presentations; see also Chari and Pressley [7, Chapter 12]. In this paper we are concerned with the one commonly known as the *RTT*-presentation and which preceded the other two historically. It goes back to the work of the St.-Petersburg school on the inverse scattering method; see e.g. Takhtajan and Faddeev [24], Kulish and Sklyanin [15], Tarasov [25, 26], Reshetikhin, Takhtajan and Faddeev [23]. In the case of *A* type, i.e., $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{sl}_N$, the *RTT*-presentation of the corresponding Yangian turns out to be particularly useful in the applications of the *R*-matrix techniques to the classical Lie algebras; see e.g. the review paper [17] and references therein. Moreover, this presentation is most convenient for the study of various subalgebras of the *A* type Yangian which play an important role in the applications to the quantum spin chain models; see e.g. Arnaudon *et al.* [2, 3, 4], Molev and Ragoucy [19].

In a recent paper by Arnaudon *et al.* [1], the *RTT*-presentation of the Yangian associated with the *B*, *C* or *D* type Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ was studied. The Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ was presented as a quotient of a quadratic algebra whose defining relations are written in the form of an *RTT*-relation. Below we denote this algebra by $X(\mathfrak{a})$ and call it the *extended Yangian*. The paper [1] contains an explicit construction of a formal series $z(u)$ whose coefficients belong to the center of $X(\mathfrak{a})$. As shown in [1], the quotient of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ by the relations $z(u) = 1$ is isomorphic to $Y(\mathfrak{a})$. In the orthogonal case $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_N$ (*B* and *D* types) this reproduces an earlier result of Drinfeld [12].

Our aim in this paper is to describe the algebraic structure of the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{a})$ for each orthogonal and symplectic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_N$ and $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ and classify its finite-dimensional irreducible representations. First, we prove an analog of the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem for the algebra $X(\mathfrak{a})$. Then, following the approach of Molev, Nazarov and Olshanski [18], we define the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ as a subalgebra of $X(\mathfrak{a})$. In [18], the *A* type Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$ is defined as a subalgebra of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ for the general linear Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ so that the algebra $X(\mathfrak{a})$ can be regarded as an analog of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ for the *B*, *C* and *D* types. Furthermore, we show that the coefficients of the series $z(u)$ are algebraically independent and generate the center of $X(\mathfrak{a})$. This implies that the finite-dimensional irreducible representations of the algebras $X(\mathfrak{a})$ and $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ are essentially the same. These representations of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ were classified by Drinfeld [12]; see also Chari and Pressley [7, Chapter 12]. However, this classification is given in terms of a different presentation (*new realization*) of $Y(\mathfrak{a})$. At present, no explicit isomorphism between the new realization

of the orthogonal or symplectic Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ and its RTT -presentation is known. (A detailed construction of such an isomorphism in the case of $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$ is recently given by Brundan and Kleshchev [5].) Therefore, the classification results of [12] do not imply an immediate description of the finite-dimensional irreducible representations of the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{a})$.

We develop an independent approach to the representation theory for the algebras $X(\mathfrak{a})$. We define Verma modules $M(\lambda(u))$ over $X(\mathfrak{a})$ in a standard way, where $\lambda(u)$ is a tuple of formal series which we call the highest weight. We show that every finite-dimensional irreducible representation of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ is isomorphic to the unique irreducible quotient $L(\lambda(u))$ of $M(\lambda(u))$. We classify the finite-dimensional irreducible representations of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ by producing necessary and sufficient conditions on the highest weight $\lambda(u)$ for the module $L(\lambda(u))$ to be finite-dimensional; see Theorem 5.16. Reformulating these conditions for representations of the subalgebra $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ we thus obtain another proof of Drinfeld's theorem [12] for the case of the classical Lie algebras $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_N$ and $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$.

As a first step, we consider the low-rank cases and construct explicit isomorphisms $Y(\mathfrak{sp}_2) \simeq Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, $Y(\mathfrak{o}_3) \simeq Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and $Y(\mathfrak{o}_4) \simeq Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. The former is quite immediate while the remaining two require appropriate versions of the fusion procedure for R -matrices. The representations are then described by using the known results for the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ which are due to Tarasov [25, 26]. For the sake of completeness, we reproduce a proof of those results which is a simpler version of the one contained in [16]. Using the above isomorphisms, we also give explicit formulas for the evaluation homomorphisms from $X(\mathfrak{a})$ to the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{a})$ for each $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{sp}_2$, $\mathfrak{o}_3$ and $\mathfrak{o}_4$.

In order to establish the necessary conditions for $L(\lambda(u))$ to be finite-dimensional, we use an induction argument which allows us to get the conditions for the rank n Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ from those of rank $n - 1$. The sufficient conditions on $\lambda(u)$ are established by producing finite-dimensional modules having $\lambda(u)$ as a highest weight. We do this first for the so-called fundamental modules and then employ the Hopf algebra structure on $X(\mathfrak{a})$. In particular, this proves that every finite-dimensional irreducible representations of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ is isomorphic to a subquotient of a tensor product of the corresponding fundamental modules. We also give an explicit construction of all fundamental modules of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ basically following the approach of Chari and Pressley [6] but avoiding the use of their results on the singularities of the R -matrices. For the applications of the fundamental Yangian modules to the affine Toda field theories see Chari and Pressley [8].

The financial support of the Australian Research Council and the *Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique Théorique* is acknowledged.

2 Definitions and preliminaries

We let $\mathfrak{a}$ denote the simple complex Lie algebra of type B_n , C_n , or D_n . That is,

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}, \quad \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}, \quad \text{or} \quad \mathfrak{o}_{2n}, \quad (2.1)$$

respectively. Whenever possible, we consider the three cases (2.1) simultaneously, unless otherwise stated. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ can be regarded as a subalgebra of the general linear Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_N$, where $N = 2n + 1$ or $N = 2n$, respectively. It will be convenient to enumerate the rows and columns of $N \times N$ matrices by the indices $-n, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, n$, if $N = 2n$, and by the indices $-n, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, n$, if $N = 2n + 1$. For $-n \leq i, j \leq n$ set

$$F_{ij} = E_{ij} - \theta_{ij} E_{-j, -i} \quad (2.2)$$

where the E_{ij} are the elements of the standard basis of $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ and

$$\theta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{in the orthogonal case,} \\ \text{sgn } i \cdot \text{sgn } j & \text{in the symplectic case.} \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

The elements F_{ij} span the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ and satisfy the relations

$$F_{ij} + \theta_{ij} F_{-j, -i} = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

for any $-n \leq i, j \leq n$, and

$$[F_{ij}, F_{kl}] = \delta_{kj} F_{il} - \delta_{il} F_{kj} - \delta_{k, -i} \theta_{ij} F_{-j, l} + \delta_{l, -j} \theta_{ij} F_{k, -i}. \quad (2.5)$$

For any n -tuple of complex numbers $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ we shall denote by $V(\mu)$ the irreducible representation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ with the highest weight μ . That is, $V(\mu)$ is generated by a nonzero vector ξ such that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{ij} \xi &= 0 & \text{for } -n \leq i < j \leq n, & \quad \text{and} \\ F_{ii} \xi &= \mu_i \xi & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

The representation $V(\mu)$ is finite-dimensional if and only if

$$\mu_i - \mu_{i+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -\mu_1 - \mu_2 &\in \mathbb{Z}_+ & \text{if } \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}, \\ -\mu_1 &\in \mathbb{Z}_+ & \text{if } \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}, \\ -2\mu_1 &\in \mathbb{Z}_+ & \text{if } \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the endomorphism algebra $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^N$ and let $e_{ij} \in \text{End } \mathbb{C}^N$ be the standard matrix units (we use lower case letters to distinguish the elements of $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^N$ from the basis elements of $\mathfrak{gl}_N$; the latter will also be regarded as generators of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$). We denote by F the $N \times N$ matrix whose ij -th entry is F_{ij} . We shall also regard F as the element

$$F = \sum_{i,j=-n}^n e_{ij} \otimes F_{ij} \in \text{End } \mathbb{C}^N \otimes U(\mathfrak{a}). \quad (2.6)$$

We shall use the transposition $t : \text{End } \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow \text{End } \mathbb{C}^N$ which is a linear map defined on the basis elements by the rule

$$(e_{ij})^t = \theta_{ij} e_{-j,-i}, \quad (2.7)$$

and the standard transposition defined by

$$(e_{ij})' = e_{ji}. \quad (2.8)$$

The permutation operator P is an element of $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^N \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^N$ given by

$$P = \sum_{i,j=-n}^n e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji}. \quad (2.9)$$

We let Q denote the transposed operator $Q = P^{t_1} = P^{t_2}$ with respect to the first or second copy of $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^N$,

$$Q = \sum_{i,j=-n}^n \theta_{ij} e_{ij} \otimes e_{-i,-j}. \quad (2.10)$$

Whenever the double sign $\pm$ or $\mp$ occurs, the upper sign corresponds to the orthogonal case while the lower sign corresponds to the symplectic case. Note that the operators P and Q satisfy the relations

$$P^2 = 1, \quad PQ = QP = \pm Q, \quad Q^2 = N Q. \quad (2.11)$$

Set

$$\kappa = N/2 \mp 1. \quad (2.12)$$

The R -matrix $R(u)$ is a rational function in a complex parameter u with values in $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^N \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^N$ defined by

$$R(u) = 1 - \frac{P}{u} + \frac{Q}{u - \kappa}. \quad (2.13)$$

It is well known that $R(u)$ satisfies the Yang–Baxter equation

$$R_{12}(u) R_{13}(u+v) R_{23}(v) = R_{23}(v) R_{13}(u+v) R_{12}(u), \quad (2.14)$$

see [14], [27]. Here both sides take values in $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^N \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^N \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^N$ and the subscripts indicate the copies of $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^N$ so that $R_{12}(u) = R(u) \otimes 1$ etc.

Following the general approach of [11] and [23], we define the *extended Yangian* $X(\mathfrak{a})$ as an associative algebra with generators $t_{ij}^{(r)}$, where $-n \leq i, j \leq n$ and $r = 1, 2, \dots$ (the zero value of i and j is skipped if $N = 2n$), satisfying certain quadratic relations. In order to write them down, introduce the formal series

$$t_{ij}(u) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} t_{ij}^{(r)} u^{-r} \in X(\mathfrak{a})[[u^{-1}]], \quad t_{ij}^{(0)} = \delta_{ij}, \quad (2.15)$$

and set

$$T(u) = \sum_{i,j=-n}^n e_{ij} \otimes t_{ij}(u) \in \text{End } \mathbb{C}^N \otimes X(\mathfrak{a})[[u^{-1}]]. \quad (2.16)$$

Consider the algebra $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^N \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^N \otimes X(\mathfrak{a})[[u^{-1}]]$ and introduce its elements $T_1(u)$ and $T_2(u)$ by

$$T_1(u) = \sum_{i,j=-n}^n e_{ij} \otimes 1 \otimes t_{ij}(u), \quad T_2(u) = \sum_{i,j=-n}^n 1 \otimes e_{ij} \otimes t_{ij}(u). \quad (2.17)$$

The defining relations for the algebra $X(\mathfrak{a})$ have the form of an *RTT-relation*:

$$R(u-v) T_1(u) T_2(v) = T_2(v) T_1(u) R(u-v). \quad (2.18)$$

Equivalently, in terms of the series (2.15) they can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} [t_{ij}(u), t_{kl}(v)] &= \frac{1}{u-v} \left(t_{kj}(u) t_{il}(v) - t_{kj}(v) t_{il}(u) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{u-v-\kappa} \left(\delta_{k,-i} \sum_{p=-n}^n \theta_{ip} t_{pj}(u) t_{-p,l}(v) - \delta_{l,-j} \sum_{p=-n}^n \theta_{jp} t_{k,-p}(v) t_{ip}(u) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Remark 2.1. The above definition of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ can be extended to the cases $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_1$ and $\mathfrak{o}_2$. However, both algebras $X(\mathfrak{o}_1)$ and $X(\mathfrak{o}_2)$ are commutative. In addition, in $X(\mathfrak{o}_2)$ we have $t_{-1,1}(u) = t_{1,-1}(u) = 0$. In what follows, we only deal with the orthogonal Lie algebras $\mathfrak{o}_N$ for $N \geq 3$. $\square$

Consider an arbitrary formal series $f(u)$ of the form

$$f(u) = 1 + f_1 u^{-1} + f_2 u^{-2} + \dots \in \mathbb{C}[[u^{-1}]]. \quad (2.20)$$

Also, let $a \in \mathbb{C}$ be a constant and let B be a matrix with entries in $\mathbb{C}$ such that $BB^t = 1$. It is easily derived from the defining relations for the algebra $X(\mathfrak{a})$ that each of the mappings

$$\mu_f : T(u) \mapsto f(u) T(u), \quad (2.21)$$

$$\tau_a : T(u) \mapsto T(u - a), \quad (2.22)$$

$$T(u) \mapsto B T(u) B^t$$

defines an automorphism of $X(\mathfrak{a})$. Furthermore, each of the mappings

$$T(u) \mapsto T(-u),$$

$$T(u) \mapsto T^t(u),$$

$$T(u) \mapsto T^{-1}(u),$$

defines an anti-automorphism of $X(\mathfrak{a})$; cf. [18, Section 1]. This is easily verified with the use of the following property of the R -matrix implied by (2.11):

$$R(u) R(-u) = 1 - \frac{1}{u^2}, \quad (2.23)$$

and the fact that $R(u)$ is stable under the composition of the transpositions in the first and the second copies of $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^N$.

The extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{a})$ is a Hopf algebra with the coproduct

$$\Delta : t_{ij}(u) \mapsto \sum_{a=-n}^n t_{ia}(u) \otimes t_{aj}(u), \quad (2.24)$$

the antipode

$$S : T(u) \mapsto T^{-1}(u),$$

and the counit

$$\epsilon : T(u) \mapsto 1,$$

cf. [23], [18, Section 1].

Multiplying both sides of (2.18) by $u - v - \kappa$, taking $u = v + \kappa$ and replacing v by u we get

$$Q T_1(u + \kappa) T_2(u) = T_2(u) T_1(u + \kappa) Q. \quad (2.25)$$

Since Q/N is a projection operator in $\mathbb{C}^N \otimes \mathbb{C}^N$ with a one-dimensional image, the expression on each side of (2.25) must be equal to Q times a series $z(u)$ with coefficients in $X(\mathfrak{a})$. Since $Q T_1(u) = Q T_2^t(u)$ and $T_1(u) Q = T_2^t(u) Q$, we have

$$T^t(u + \kappa) T(u) = T(u) T^t(u + \kappa) = z(u) 1, \quad (2.26)$$

where

$$z(u) = 1 + z_1 u^{-1} + z_2 u^{-2} + \dots, \quad z_i \in X(\mathfrak{a}). \quad (2.27)$$

Taking the kl -th entries in (2.26) we get the formulas

$$\sum_{i=-n}^n \theta_{ki} t_{-i,-k}(u + \kappa) t_{il}(u) = \sum_{i=-n}^n \theta_{il} t_{ki}(u) t_{-l,-i}(u + \kappa) = \delta_{kl} z(u). \quad (2.28)$$

It was shown in [1] that all the coefficients z_i are central in $X(\mathfrak{a})$, and $z(u)$ has the property¹

$$\Delta : z(u) \mapsto z(u) \otimes z(u). \quad (2.29)$$

By the Hopf algebra axioms, this implies that the image of $z(u)$ under the antipode S is found by

$$S : z(u) \mapsto z(u)^{-1}. \quad (2.30)$$

By (2.26), we have

$$S : T(u) \mapsto z(u)^{-1} T^t(u + \kappa).$$

Hence, since the transposition is involutive, we conclude that the square of the antipode is the automorphism of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ given by

$$S^2 : T(u) \mapsto \frac{z(u)}{z(u + \kappa)} T(u + 2\kappa); \quad (2.31)$$

cf. [18, Section 1].

We define the *Yangian* $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ associated with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ as the subalgebra of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ which consists of the elements stable under all the automorphisms of the form (2.21). It will follow from [1] and the results below that this definition is consistent with the one given by Drinfeld [10]; cf. [18, Section 1].

3 Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem and the center of the extended Yangian

Let us denote by $ZX(\mathfrak{a})$ the subalgebra of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ generated by all the coefficients z_i of the series $z(u)$; see (2.27).

Theorem 3.1. *We have the tensor product decomposition*

$$X(\mathfrak{a}) = ZX(\mathfrak{a}) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{a}). \quad (3.1)$$

¹Note that the R -matrix considered in [1] coincides with our $R(-u)$.

Proof. We follow the argument of [18, Section 2.16]. There exists a unique series $y(u)$ of the form

$$y(u) = 1 + y_1 u^{-1} + y_2 u^{-2} + \cdots, \quad y_i \in \mathbb{C}X(\mathfrak{a})$$

such that $y(u)y(u + \kappa) = z(u)$. In order to see this, it suffices to write this relation in terms of the coefficients,

$$z_k = 2y_k + A_k(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}), \quad k \geq 1, \quad (3.2)$$

where A_k is a quadratic polynomial in $k-1$ variables. By (2.26), the image of the series $z(u)$ under the automorphism (2.21) is $f(u)f(u + \kappa)z(u)$. Hence, the automorphism (2.21) takes $y(u)$ to $f(u)y(u)$. This implies that the series $\tau_{ij}(u)$ defined by

$$\tau_{ij}(u) = y(u)^{-1} t_{ij}(u), \quad i, j = -n, \dots, n, \quad (3.3)$$

are stable under all automorphisms (2.21). Write

$$\tau_{ij}(u) = \delta_{ij} + \tau_{ij}^{(1)} u^{-1} + \tau_{ij}^{(2)} u^{-2} + \cdots.$$

So, the coefficients $\tau_{ij}^{(r)}$ of $\tau_{ij}(u)$ belong to the subalgebra $Y(\mathfrak{a})$. Now the decomposition $X(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathbb{C}X(\mathfrak{a}) \cdot Y(\mathfrak{a})$ follows from the relation $t_{ij}(u) = y(u)\tau_{ij}(u)$.

It remains to demonstrate that the elements z_i are algebraically independent over $Y(\mathfrak{a})$. Due to (3.2), it suffices to do this for the elements y_i . Suppose on the contrary, that for some positive integer n there exists a nonzero polynomial B in n variables with the coefficients in $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ such that

$$B(y_1, \dots, y_n) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Take the minimal n with this property. The coefficients of B are stable under any automorphism (2.21). Hence, applying the automorphism (2.21) with $f(u) = 1 + au^{-n}$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$ to the equality (3.4) we get

$$B(y_1, \dots, y_n + a) = 0$$

for any $a \in \mathbb{C}$. This means that the polynomial B does not depend on its n -th variable, which contradicts the choice of n . $\square$

Corollary 3.2. *The Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ is isomorphic to the quotient of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ by the ideal generated by the elements $z_1, z_2, \dots$, i.e.,*

$$Y(\mathfrak{a}) \cong X(\mathfrak{a}) / (z(u) = 1).$$

Equivalently, $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ is generated by the elements $\tau_{ij}^{(r)}$, where $-n \leq i, j \leq n$ and $r = 1, 2, \dots$ subject only to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} [\tau_{ij}(u), \tau_{kl}(v)] &= \frac{1}{u-v} \left(\tau_{kj}(u) \tau_{il}(v) - \tau_{kj}(v) \tau_{il}(u) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{u-v-\kappa} \left(\delta_{k,-i} \sum_{p=-n}^n \theta_{ip} \tau_{pj}(u) \tau_{-p,l}(v) - \delta_{l,-j} \sum_{p=-n}^n \theta_{jp} \tau_{k,-p}(v) \tau_{ip}(u) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=-n}^n \theta_{ki} \tau_{-i,-k}(u+\kappa) \tau_{il}(u) = \delta_{kl}. \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. Let I be the ideal of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ introduced in the statement of the corollary. Then Theorem 3.1 implies that $X(\mathfrak{a}) = I \oplus Y(\mathfrak{a})$ proving the first statement.

Now, the coefficients $\tau_{ij}^{(r)}$ of the series $\tau_{ij}(u)$ with $i, j = -n, \dots, n$ generate the subalgebra $Y(\mathfrak{a})$. Indeed, it follows from the proof of Theorem 3.1 that any element $x \in X(\mathfrak{a})$ can be uniquely written as a polynomial B in $y_1, y_2, \dots$ such that the coefficients of B are elements of the subalgebra of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ generated by the elements $\tau_{ij}^{(r)}$. On the other hand, if x belongs to the subalgebra $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ then B cannot depend on the elements y_i because x is stable under all automorphisms (2.21). Hence, x belongs to the subalgebra of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ generated by the $\tau_{ij}^{(r)}$.

Finally, recall that the coefficients y_i of the series $y(u)$ are central in $X(\mathfrak{a})$. Hence, we derive from (3.3) that the relation (2.19) will hold if the series $t_{ij}(u)$ are respectively replaced by $\tau_{ij}(u)$ which gives (3.5). Furthermore, (3.6) follows from (2.28). Conversely, (3.5) and (3.6) are defining relations for $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ because they are respectively equivalent to (2.19) and the relation $z(u) = 1$. $\square$

Proposition 3.3. *The subalgebra $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ is a Hopf algebra whose coproduct, antipode and counit are obtained by restricting those from $X(\mathfrak{a})$.*

Proof. The relation (2.29) implies that

$$\Delta : y(u) \mapsto y(u) \otimes y(u). \quad (3.7)$$

Therefore the image of $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ under the coproduct on $X(\mathfrak{a})$ is contained in $Y(\mathfrak{a}) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{a})$. By (2.30), the image of $y(u)$ under the antipode S is $y(u)^{-1}$. Hence,

$$S : y(u)^{-1} T(u) \mapsto y(u) T^{-1}(u).$$

Any automorphism (2.21) leaves the product $y(u) T^{-1}(u)$ invariant and so the subalgebra $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ is stable under S . $\square$

Introduce an ascending filtration on the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{a})$ by setting

$$\deg t_{kl}^{(r)} = r - 1 \quad (3.8)$$

for any $k, l \in \{-n, \dots, n\}$. Denote by $\bar{t}_{kl}^{(r)}$ and $\bar{z}_r$ the images of the elements $t_{kl}^{(r)}$ and z_r , respectively, in the $(r-1)$ -th component of the associated graded algebra $\text{gr } X(\mathfrak{a})$. Then (2.28) gives the relations

$$\bar{t}_{kl}^{(r)} + \theta_{kl} \bar{t}_{-l, -k}^{(r)} = \delta_{kl} \bar{z}_r. \quad (3.9)$$

Furthermore, (3.3) implies that the degree of each element $\tau_{kl}^{(r)}$ does not exceed $r-1$ and its image $\bar{\tau}_{kl}^{(r)}$ in the $(r-1)$ -th component of $\text{gr } X(\mathfrak{a})$ is given by

$$\bar{\tau}_{kl}^{(r)} = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{t}_{kl}^{(r)} - \theta_{kl} \bar{t}_{-l, -k}^{(r)}). \quad (3.10)$$

The ascending filtration on the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ is induced by the one on $X(\mathfrak{a})$. We denote by $\text{gr } Y(\mathfrak{a})$ the associated graded algebra.

Proposition 3.4. *The mapping*

$$F_{ij} x^{r-1} \mapsto \bar{\tau}_{ij}^{(r)} \quad (3.11)$$

defines an algebra homomorphism $\psi : U(\mathfrak{a}[x]) \rightarrow \text{gr } Y(\mathfrak{a})$.

Proof. By (3.10),

$$\bar{\tau}_{kl}^{(r)} + \theta_{kl} \bar{\tau}_{-l, -k}^{(r)} = 0$$

for any $-n \leq k, l \leq n$ and $r \geq 1$. Furthermore, using the expansion

$$\frac{1}{u-v} = u^{-1} + u^{-2}v + \dots,$$

take the coefficients at $u^{-r}v^{-s}$ on both sides of the relation (3.5). Keeping the highest degree terms, we come to

$$[\bar{\tau}_{ij}^{(r)}, \bar{\tau}_{kl}^{(s)}] = \delta_{kj} \bar{\tau}_{il}^{(r+s-1)} - \delta_{il} \bar{\tau}_{kj}^{(r+s-1)} - \delta_{k, -i} \theta_{ij} \bar{\tau}_{-j, l}^{(r+s-1)} + \delta_{l, -j} \theta_{ij} \bar{\tau}_{k, -i}^{(r+s-1)}.$$

It remains to compare these relations with (2.4) and (2.5). □

Since the graded algebra $\text{gr } Y(\mathfrak{a})$ is generated by the elements $\bar{\tau}_{ij}^{(r)}$, the homomorphism ψ defined in Proposition 3.4 is obviously surjective. Our aim now is to show that ψ is an algebra isomorphism (see Theorem 3.6 below). We shall follow the approach of Nazarov's paper [21, Section 2], where a similar result was established for the Yangian of the queer Lie superalgebra.

Let ρ be the vector representation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ on the vector space $\mathbb{C}^N$. So,

$$\rho : F_{ij} \mapsto e_{ij} - \theta_{ij} e_{-j, -i}.$$

For any $c \in \mathbb{C}$ consider the corresponding evaluation representation ρ_c of the polynomial current Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}[x]$ given by

$$\rho_c : F_{ij} x^s \mapsto c^s \rho(F_{ij}), \quad s \geq 0.$$

For any $c_1, \dots, c_l \in \mathbb{C}$ consider the tensor product of the evaluation representations of $\mathfrak{a}[x]$,

$$\rho_{c_1, \dots, c_l} = \rho_{c_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{c_l}.$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let the parameters $c_1, \dots, c_l$ and integer $l \geq 0$ vary. Then the intersection in $U(\mathfrak{a}[x])$ of the kernels of all representations $\rho_{c_1, \dots, c_l}$ is trivial.*

Proof. Choose a basis $Y_1, \dots, Y_M$ of $\mathfrak{a}$, where $M = \dim \mathfrak{a}$, and set $y_i = \rho(Y_i)$. Let A be a nonzero element of $U(\mathfrak{a}[x])$. Choose a total ordering on the set of basis elements $Y_i x^s$ of $\mathfrak{a}[x]$ and write A as a linear combination of ordered monomials in the basis elements. Let m be the maximal length of monomials which occur in A . For each monomial

$$(Y_{a_1} x^{s_1}) \dots (Y_{a_m} x^{s_m}) \in U(\mathfrak{a}[x]) \quad (3.12)$$

occurring in A consider the corresponding symmetrized elements

$$\sum_{q \in \mathfrak{S}_m} (Y_{a_{q(1)}} x^{s_{q(1)}}) \otimes \dots \otimes (Y_{a_{q(m)}} x^{s_{q(m)}}) \in (\mathfrak{a}[x])^{\otimes m}. \quad (3.13)$$

Regarding $U(\mathfrak{a}[x])$ as the quotient of the tensor algebra of $\mathfrak{a}[x]$ we derive that the elements (3.13) are linearly independent. Identifying the vector spaces

$$(\mathfrak{a}[x])^{\otimes m} = \mathfrak{a}^{\otimes m}[x_1, \dots, x_m],$$

we can regard the sum (3.13) as a polynomial function in m independent variables $x_1, \dots, x_m$ with values in the vector space $\mathfrak{a}^{\otimes m}$,

$$\sum_{q \in \mathfrak{S}_m} x_1^{s_{q(1)}} \dots x_m^{s_{q(m)}} Y_{a_{q(1)}} \otimes \dots \otimes Y_{a_{q(m)}}. \quad (3.14)$$

Note that

$$\rho_{c_1, \dots, c_l} : Y_a x^s \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^l c_k^s y_a^{[k]}, \quad y_a^{[k]} = 1^{\otimes (k-1)} \otimes y_a \otimes 1^{\otimes (l-k)}.$$

Hence, the image of the monomial (3.12) under the representation $\rho_{c_1, \dots, c_m}$ is given by

$$\sum_{k_1, \dots, k_m=1}^m c_{k_1}^{s_1} \cdots c_{k_m}^{s_m} y_{a_1}^{[k_1]} \cdots y_{a_m}^{[k_m]} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes m}. \quad (3.15)$$

Let us complete the set of matrices $y_1, \dots, y_M$ to a basis $y_1, \dots, y_{N^2}$ of $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^N$ in such a way that the identity matrix $1 \in \text{End } \mathbb{C}^N$ occurs as a basis vector y_i for some $i \in \{M+1, \dots, N^2\}$. Denote by V_m the subspace in $(\text{End } \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes m}$ spanned by the basis elements $y_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes y_{i_m}$ where at least one of the tensor factors is 1. Observe that the image under the representation $\rho_{c_1, \dots, c_m}$ of any monomial of length $< m$ occurring in A is contained in V_m . Furthermore, modulo elements belonging to V_m , the sum (3.15) can be written as

$$\sum_{q \in \mathfrak{S}_m} c_1^{s_{q(1)}} \cdots c_m^{s_{q(m)}} y_{a_{q(1)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes y_{a_{q(m)}}. \quad (3.16)$$

This sum is the value of (3.14) under the specialization $x_i = c_i$ and replacement of Y_i with $y_i = \rho(Y_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. However, since ρ is faithful and the elements (3.13) are linearly independent, there exist values of the parameters $c_1, \dots, c_m$ such that the corresponding sums (3.16) are linearly independent modulo the subspace V_m which completes the proof. $\square$

We are now in a position to prove the following.

Theorem 3.6. *The mapping $\psi : \text{U}(\mathfrak{a}[x]) \rightarrow \text{gr } Y(\mathfrak{a})$ defined in (3.11) is an algebra isomorphism.*

Proof. Due to Proposition 3.4, we only need to show that the kernel of ψ is trivial. Let C be a nonzero element of $\text{U}(\mathfrak{a}[x])$. We shall show that $\psi(C) \neq 0$. The universal enveloping algebra $\text{U}(\mathfrak{a}[x])$ has a grading defined on the generators by declaring the degree of $F_{ij}x^s$ to be equal to s . Then ψ is obviously a homomorphism of graded algebras. Hence, we may assume that C is homogeneous of degree, say, d . Write

$$C = \sum C_{i_1 j_1, \dots, i_m j_m}^{r_1, \dots, r_m} (F_{i_1 j_1} x^{r_1-1}) \cdots (F_{i_m j_m} x^{r_m-1}), \quad (3.17)$$

summed over the indices i_a, j_a, r_a such that $r_1 + \cdots + r_m = d + m$.

Consider the element $C' \in Y(\mathfrak{a})$ given by the formula

$$C' = \sum C_{i_1 j_1, \dots, i_m j_m}^{r_1, \dots, r_m} \tau_{i_1 j_1}^{(r_1)} \cdots \tau_{i_m j_m}^{(r_m)},$$

where the summation is taken over the same set of indices as in (3.17) with the same coefficients. Then the image of C' in the d -th component of the graded algebra $\text{gr } Y(\mathfrak{a})$ coincides with $\psi(C)$. So, it suffices to show that $\deg C' = d$.

Applying the standard transposition (2.8) to the third copy of $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^N$ in the Yang–Baxter equation (2.14) and using (2.23) we come to the relation

$$R_{12}(u-v) R'_{13}(-u) R'_{23}(-v) = R'_{23}(-v) R'_{13}(-u) R_{12}(u-v), \quad (3.18)$$

where

$$R'(u) = 1 - \frac{P'}{u} + \frac{Q'}{u - \kappa}$$

with the transposition applied to the first (or second) copy of $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^N$. Hence, by the defining relations (2.18) of the algebra $X(\mathfrak{a})$ we conclude that the mapping $T(u) \mapsto R'(-u)$ defines a representation of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ in the space $\mathbb{C}^N$. Taking its composition with the automorphism (2.22) we obtain for any $c \in \mathbb{C}$ the representation $\sigma_c : T(u) \mapsto R'(-u + c)$. Equivalently, in terms of the generating series (2.15) we have

$$\sigma_c : t_{ij}(u) \mapsto \delta_{ij} + e_{ij} (u - c)^{-1} - \theta_{ij} e_{-j, -i} (u + \kappa - c)^{-1}. \quad (3.19)$$

Since the transpositions (2.7) and (2.8) commute, using (2.26) and the relations

$$(Q')^2 = 1, \quad P'Q' = Q'P' = \pm P', \quad (P')^2 = N P',$$

we derive that the image of $z(u)$ under σ_c is given by

$$\sigma_c : z(u) \mapsto 1 - \frac{1}{(u - c + \kappa)^2}.$$

There exists a unique series $f_c(u) \in 1 + u^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[u^{-1}]]$ such that

$$f_c(u) f_c(u + \kappa) = \frac{(u - c + \kappa)^2}{(u - c + \kappa)^2 - 1}.$$

Then $\sigma_c : y(u)^{-1} \mapsto f_c(u)$ so that due to (3.19), for the image of the series $\tau_{ij}(u)$ under σ_c we have

$$\sigma_c : \tau_{ij}(u) \mapsto f_c(u) \left(\delta_{ij} + e_{ij} (u - c)^{-1} - \theta_{ij} e_{-j, -i} (u + \kappa - c)^{-1} \right). \quad (3.20)$$

Observe that the coefficient of the series $f_c(u)$ at u^{-k} is a polynomial in c of degree $\leq k - 1$. Therefore, taking the coefficient at u^{-r} in (3.20) we find that the image of $\tau_{ij}^{(r)}$ under σ_c is a polynomial in c of degree $\leq r - 1$ with coefficients in $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^N$. Moreover, the coefficient of this polynomial at c^{r-1} coincides with $\rho(F_{ij})$.

Using Proposition 3.3, we can construct a representation of $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ in the space $(\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes l}$ by

$$\sigma_{c_1, \dots, c_l} = \sigma_{c_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_{c_l}, \quad c_i \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The image of the element C' under $\sigma_{c_1, \dots, c_l}$ is a polynomial in $c_1, \dots, c_l$ of degree $\leq d$. Moreover, the homogeneous component of degree d of this polynomial coincides with $D = \rho_{c_1, \dots, c_l}(C)$. By Lemma 3.5, there exist values of the parameters $c_1, \dots, c_l$ such that $D \neq 0$. This implies that the element C' has degree d and so $\psi(C) \neq 0$. $\square$

The following is an analog of the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem for the algebra $Y(\mathfrak{a})$. It is immediate from Theorem 3.6.

Corollary 3.7. *Given any total ordering on the set of generators $\tau_{ij}^{(r)}$ with*

$$i + j > 0, \quad r \geq 1, \quad \text{in the orthogonal case,}$$

and

$$i + j \geq 0, \quad r \geq 1, \quad \text{in the symplectic case,}$$

the ordered monomials in the generators form a basis of $Y(\mathfrak{a})$. $\square$

Remark 3.8. The algebra $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ admits another filtration defined by setting the degree of the generator $\tau_{ij}^{(r)}$ to be equal to r . It follows from Corollary 3.2 that the associated graded algebra $\tilde{\text{gr}} Y(\mathfrak{a})$ is commutative. Let $\tilde{\tau}_{ij}^{(r)}$ denote the image of $\tau_{ij}^{(r)}$ in the r -th component of $\tilde{\text{gr}} Y(\mathfrak{a})$. By Corollary 3.7, the graded algebra $\tilde{\text{gr}} Y(\mathfrak{a})$ is isomorphic to the algebra of polynomials in the variables $\tilde{\tau}_{ij}^{(r)}$, where the indices i, j, r are subject to the same conditions as in Corollary 3.7. $\square$

Recall that $ZX(\mathfrak{a})$ is the subalgebra of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ generated by the coefficients z_i of the series $z(u)$.

Corollary 3.9. (i) *The center of the algebra $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ is trivial.*

(ii) *The center of the algebra $X(\mathfrak{a})$ coincides with $ZX(\mathfrak{a})$.*

(iii) *The coefficients $z_1, z_2, \dots$ of the series $z(u)$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}$, so that the subalgebra $ZX(\mathfrak{a})$ of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ is isomorphic to the algebra of polynomials in countably many variables.*

Proof. It is well known that the center of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{a}[x])$ is trivial; see e.g. [18, Proposition 2.12]. So (i) and (ii) follow from Theorem 3.6. It is implied by the proof of Theorem 3.6 that the elements $y_1, y_2, \dots$ of the series $y(u)$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C} \subset Y(\mathfrak{a})$. Hence so are the elements $z_i, i \geq 1$. $\square$

We shall also need the following version of the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem for the algebra $X(\mathfrak{a})$.

Corollary 3.10. *Given any total ordering on the set of elements $t_{ij}^{(r)}$ and z_r with*

$$i + j > 0, \quad r \geq 1, \quad \text{in the orthogonal case,}$$

and

$$i + j \geq 0, \quad r \geq 1, \quad \text{in the symplectic case,}$$

the ordered monomials in these elements form a basis of $X(\mathfrak{a})$.

Proof. By Theorems 3.1, 3.6 and Corollary 3.9(iii), the graded algebra $\text{gr } X(\mathfrak{a})$ is isomorphic to the tensor product of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{a}[x])$ and the algebra of polynomials $\mathbb{C}[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots]$ in indeterminates ζ_r . An isomorphism is given by

$$\bar{t}_{ij}^{(r)} \mapsto F_{ij} x^{r-1} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \zeta_r,$$

so that ζ_r is the image of $\bar{z}_r$; see (3.9). This implies the statement. $\square$

Proposition 3.11. *The assignment*

$$F_{ij} \mapsto \tau_{ij}^{(1)} \tag{3.21}$$

defines an embedding $U(\mathfrak{a}) \hookrightarrow Y(\mathfrak{a})$, while the assignment

$$F_{ij} \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \left(t_{ij}^{(1)} - \theta_{ij} t_{-j, -i}^{(1)} \right) \tag{3.22}$$

defines an embedding $U(\mathfrak{a}) \hookrightarrow X(\mathfrak{a})$.

Proof. The defining relations (3.5) and (3.6) of $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ imply that the map (3.21) is a homomorphism. Its injectivity follows from Corollary 3.7. Furthermore, by (3.3) we have $\tau_{ij}^{(1)} = t_{ij}^{(1)} - \delta_{ij} y_1$. It remains to observe that $2y_1 = z_1 = t_{ii}^{(1)} + t_{-i, -i}^{(1)}$ for any i and $t_{ij}^{(1)} = -\theta_{ij} t_{-j, -i}^{(1)}$ for $i \neq j$ by (2.28). $\square$

4 Isomorphisms for low rank Yangians

Recall that the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ for the general linear Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ is defined as a unital associative algebra with countably many generators $T_{ij}^{(1)}, T_{ij}^{(2)}, \dots$ where $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, and the defining relations

$$[T_{ij}^{(r+1)}, T_{kl}^{(s)}] - [T_{ij}^{(r)}, T_{kl}^{(s+1)}] = T_{kj}^{(r)} T_{il}^{(s)} - T_{kj}^{(s)} T_{il}^{(r)}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $r, s \geq 0$ and $T_{ij}^{(0)} = \delta_{ij}$. Equivalently, these relations can be written as

$$[T_{ij}^{(r)}, T_{kl}^{(s)}] = \sum_{a=1}^{\min(r,s)} \left(T_{kj}^{(a-1)} T_{il}^{(r+s-a)} - T_{kj}^{(r+s-a)} T_{il}^{(a-1)} \right). \tag{4.2}$$

Introducing the generating series,

$$T_{ij}(u) = \delta_{ij} + T_{ij}^{(1)} u^{-1} + T_{ij}^{(2)} u^{-2} + \dots \in Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)[[u^{-1}]],$$

we can also write (4.1) in the form

$$(u - v) [T_{ij}(u), T_{kl}(v)] = T_{kj}(u) T_{il}(v) - T_{kj}(v) T_{il}(u). \tag{4.3}$$

Equivalently, using the notation of Section 2 and introducing the matrices

$$R^\circ(u) = 1 - P u^{-1} \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$T^\circ(u) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N e_{ij} \otimes T_{ij}(u) \in \text{End } \mathbb{C}^N \otimes Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)[[u^{-1}]], \quad (4.5)$$

we can present the defining relations in the form of an RTT -relation

$$R^\circ(u-v) T_1^\circ(u) T_2^\circ(v) = T_2^\circ(v) T_1^\circ(u) R^\circ(u-v); \quad (4.6)$$

cf. (2.18). We use the superscript "°" here to distinguish the objects related to $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ from those related to the algebra $X(\mathfrak{a})$.

The Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ is a Hopf algebra with the coproduct

$$\Delta : T_{ij}(u) \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^N T_{ik}(u) \otimes T_{kj}(u). \quad (4.7)$$

An ascending filtration on $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ can be defined by setting

$$\deg T_{ij}^{(r)} = r - 1. \quad (4.8)$$

Let $\overline{T}_{ij}^{(r)}$ denote the image of the generator $T_{ij}^{(r)}$ in the $(r-1)$ -th component of the associated graded algebra $\text{gr } Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$. We have an algebra isomorphism

$$U(\mathfrak{gl}_N[x]) \rightarrow \text{gr } Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N), \quad E_{ij} x^{r-1} \mapsto \overline{T}_{ij}^{(r)}. \quad (4.9)$$

The assignment

$$\text{ev} : T_{ij}(u) \mapsto \delta_{ij} + E_{ij} u^{-1} \quad (4.10)$$

defines a surjective homomorphism $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$. Moreover, the assignment $E_{ij} \mapsto T_{ij}^{(1)}$ defines an embedding $U(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \hookrightarrow Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$.

For any series $g(u) \in 1 + u^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[u^{-1}]]$ consider the automorphism of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ defined by

$$T_{ij}(u) \mapsto g(u) T_{ij}(u). \quad (4.11)$$

The Yangian for $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ is the subalgebra $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$ of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ which consists of the elements stable under all automorphisms (4.11).

The algebra $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ is isomorphic to the tensor product of its subalgebras

$$Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N) = ZY(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{sl}_N), \quad (4.12)$$

where $ZY(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ denotes the center of the algebra $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$. The subalgebra $ZY(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ is generated by the coefficients of the series $D(u)$ called the quantum determinant. In the case $N = 2$ it takes the form

$$D(u) = T_{11}(u) T_{22}(u-1) - T_{21}(u) T_{12}(u-1).$$

Define the series $d(u)$ with coefficients in $ZY(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ by the relation $d(u) d(u-1) = D(u)$. Then all the coefficients of the series $\mathcal{T}_{ij}(u) = d(u)^{-1} T_{ij}(u)$ belong to the subalgebra $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. The series $\mathcal{T}_{ij}(u)$ satisfy the relations

$$(u-v) [\mathcal{T}_{ij}(u), \mathcal{T}_{kl}(v)] = \mathcal{T}_{kj}(u) \mathcal{T}_{il}(v) - \mathcal{T}_{kj}(v) \mathcal{T}_{il}(u) \quad (4.13)$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_{11}(u) \mathcal{T}_{22}(u-1) - \mathcal{T}_{21}(u) \mathcal{T}_{12}(u-1) = 1 \quad (4.14)$$

which are defining relations for the algebra $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. In other words, the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is isomorphic to the quotient of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ by the ideal generated by all the coefficients of $D(u)$.

For more details on the algebraic structure of the Yangians $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ and $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$ see e.g. [18], [5].

4.1 Extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{sp}_2)$

Observe that if $N = 2$ then in the symplectic case the operators P and Q satisfy $P + Q = 1$; see (2.9) and (2.10). Therefore, for the corresponding R -matrix (2.13) we have

$$R(u) = \frac{u-1}{u-2} \left(1 - \frac{2P}{u} \right) = \frac{u-1}{u-2} \cdot R^\circ(u/2).$$

This implies the following isomorphism where we adopt the convention of Section 2 for numbering the rows and columns of 2×2 matrices by the indices $\{-1, 1\}$.

Proposition 4.1. *The mapping*

$$t_{ij}(u) \mapsto T_{ij}(u/2), \quad i, j \in \{-1, 1\} \quad (4.15)$$

defines an isomorphism $\phi : X(\mathfrak{sp}_2) \rightarrow Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$.

Proof. This is immediate from the defining relations (2.18) and (4.6). $\square$

Corollary 4.2. *The restriction of the isomorphism (4.15) to the subalgebra $Y(\mathfrak{sp}_2)$ of $X(\mathfrak{sp}_2)$ induces an isomorphism $Y(\mathfrak{sp}_2) \rightarrow Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.*

Proof. Recall that the subalgebra $Y(\mathfrak{sp}_2)$ consists of the elements stable under all automorphisms of $X(\mathfrak{sp}_2)$ of the form (2.21). However, given a series $f(u)$ in u^{-1} with complex coefficients, the mapping (4.15) takes $f(u) t_{ij}(u)$ to $f(u) T_{ij}(u/2)$. So, we have the relation $\phi \circ \mu_f = \mu_g \circ \phi$, and hence $\mu_f \circ \phi^{-1} = \phi^{-1} \circ \mu_g$, where $g(u)$ is the series in u^{-1} defined by $g(u) = f(2u)$. Thus, the image of $Y(\mathfrak{sp}_2)$ under the isomorphism ϕ coincides with the subalgebra $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$, yielding the desired isomorphism. $\square$

Corollary 4.3. *The mapping*

$$\text{ev} : T(u) \mapsto 1 + F u^{-1} \quad (4.16)$$

defines a surjective homomorphism $X(\mathfrak{sp}_2) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{sp}_2)$.

Proof. The composition of the evaluation homomorphism (4.10) and the natural projection $\mathfrak{gl}_N \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_N$ yields a homomorphism $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$. For $N = 2$ it takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} T_{-1,-1}(u) &\mapsto 1 + (E_{-1,-1} - E_{1,1}) (2u)^{-1}, & T_{-1,1}(u) &\mapsto E_{-1,1} u^{-1}, \\ T_{1,1}(u) &\mapsto 1 + (E_{1,1} - E_{-1,-1}) (2u)^{-1}, & T_{1,-1}(u) &\mapsto E_{1,-1} u^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the isomorphism of Proposition 4.1 and using the generators F_{ij} of $\mathfrak{sp}_2 \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2$ we get a homomorphism $X(\mathfrak{sp}_2) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{sp}_2)$ given by

$$\text{ev} : t_{ij}(u) \mapsto \delta_{ij} + F_{ij} u^{-1}, \quad i, j \in \{-1, 1\}.$$

Obviously, it is surjective. $\square$

4.2 Extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{o}_3)$

We shall now use a more standard notation for the generators of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$, where the indices i, j in the defining relations (4.1) and (4.3) run over the set $\{1, 2\}$. Consider the vector space $\mathbb{C}^2$ with its canonical basis e_1, e_2 and denote by V the three-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ spanned by the vectors

$$v_{-1} = e_1 \otimes e_1, \quad v_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (e_1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes e_1), \quad v_1 = -e_2 \otimes e_2.$$

We identify V with $\mathbb{C}^3$ regarding v_{-1}, v_0, v_1 as its canonical basis. In particular, the operators P_V and Q_V in $V \otimes V$ will be given by the respective formulas (2.9) and (2.10) so that, for instance, $P_V(v_0 \otimes v_1) = v_1 \otimes v_0$. Similarly, we regard the generator

matrix $T(u) = (t_{ij}(u))$ as an element of $\text{End } V \otimes X(\mathfrak{o}_3)[[u^{-1}]]$. Note that the operator $(1+P)/2$ is a projection of $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 2}$ to the subspace V . Due to (4.6), we have

$$\frac{1+P}{2} \cdot T_1^\circ(2u) T_2^\circ(2u+1) = T_2^\circ(2u+1) T_1^\circ(2u) \cdot \frac{1+P}{2},$$

because $R^\circ(-1) = 1+P$. Therefore, we may regard each side of this relation as an element of $\text{End } V \otimes Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)[[u^{-1}]]$.

Proposition 4.4. *The mapping*

$$T(u) \mapsto \frac{1+P}{2} \cdot T_1^\circ(2u) T_2^\circ(2u+1) \quad (4.17)$$

defines an isomorphism $\phi : X(\mathfrak{o}_3) \rightarrow Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$. More explicitly, the images of the generators under the isomorphism are given by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} t_{-1,-1}(u) &\mapsto T_{11}(2u) T_{11}(2u+1) \\ t_{-1,0}(u) &\mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(T_{11}(2u) T_{12}(2u+1) + T_{12}(2u) T_{11}(2u+1) \right) \\ t_{-1,1}(u) &\mapsto -T_{12}(2u) T_{12}(2u+1) \\ t_{0,-1}(u) &\mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(T_{11}(2u) T_{21}(2u+1) + T_{21}(2u) T_{11}(2u+1) \right) \\ t_{0,0}(u) &\mapsto T_{11}(2u) T_{22}(2u+1) + T_{21}(2u) T_{12}(2u+1) \\ t_{0,1}(u) &\mapsto -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(T_{12}(2u) T_{22}(2u+1) + T_{22}(2u) T_{12}(2u+1) \right) \\ t_{1,-1}(u) &\mapsto -T_{21}(2u) T_{21}(2u+1) \\ t_{1,0}(u) &\mapsto -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(T_{21}(2u) T_{22}(2u+1) + T_{22}(2u) T_{21}(2u+1) \right) \\ t_{1,1}(u) &\mapsto T_{22}(2u) T_{22}(2u+1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We start by showing that the mapping defines an algebra homomorphism. We use a version of the well known fusion procedure for R -matrices; see e.g. [2] and references therein.

Consider the tensor product space $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 4}$. As in (2.14), we use subscripts of the R -matrix (4.4) or the permutation operator $P \in \text{End } (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 2}$ to indicate the copies of $\mathbb{C}^2$ where the operator acts. In the following we consider $V \otimes V$ as a natural subspace of $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 2} \otimes (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 2}$. Obviously, the operator

$$\frac{1+P_{12}}{2} \cdot \frac{1+P_{34}}{2} = \frac{1}{4} \cdot R_{12}^\circ(-1) R_{34}^\circ(-1)$$

is a projection of $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 2} \otimes (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 2}$ to the subspace $V \otimes V$. Let us set

$$R_V(u) = \frac{1+P_{12}}{2} \cdot \frac{1+P_{34}}{2} \cdot R_{14}^\circ(2u-1) R_{13}^\circ(2u) R_{24}^\circ(2u) R_{23}^\circ(2u+1). \quad (4.18)$$

Since the R -matrix $R^\circ(u)$ satisfies the Yang–Baxter equation (2.14), we have the following equivalent expression for $R_V(u)$,

$$R_V(u) = R_{23}^\circ(2u+1) R_{13}^\circ(2u) R_{24}^\circ(2u) R_{14}^\circ(2u-1) \cdot \frac{1+P_{12}}{2} \cdot \frac{1+P_{34}}{2}. \quad (4.19)$$

Clearly, the subspace $V \otimes V$ is stable under the operator $R_V(u)$.

Lemma 4.5. *We have the equality of operators in $V \otimes V$,*

$$R_V(u) = \frac{2u-1}{2u+1} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{P_V}{u} + \frac{Q_V}{u-1/2}\right). \quad (4.20)$$

Proof. Using the formulas of the kind

$$(1+P_{12}) P_{14} P_{24} = (1+P_{12}) P_{14}$$

and

$$(1+P_{12})(1+P_{34}) P_{14} P_{23} = (1+P_{12})(1+P_{34}) P_{13} P_{24},$$

it is easy to get a simplified expression for the operator $R_V(u)$,

$$R_V(u) = \frac{1+P_{12}}{2} \cdot \frac{1+P_{34}}{2} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{P_{14}+P_{24}+P_{13}+P_{23}}{2u+1} + \frac{P_{13} P_{24}}{u(2u+1)}\right).$$

The restriction of $R_V(u)$ to the subspace $V \otimes V$ is given by

$$1 - \frac{P_{14}+P_{24}+P_{13}+P_{23}}{2u+1} + \frac{P_{13} P_{24}}{u(2u+1)} \quad (4.21)$$

so that the proof of the lemma is completed by the application of (4.21) to all basis vectors $v_i \otimes v_j$ of $V \otimes V$. For instance, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_V(u)(v_{-1} \otimes v_{-1}) &= \left(1 - \frac{P_{14}+P_{24}+P_{13}+P_{23}}{2u+1} + \frac{P_{13} P_{24}}{u(2u+1)}\right)(e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1) \\ &= \frac{(u-1)(2u-1)}{u(2u+1)} \cdot e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the application of the operator on the right hand side of (4.20) to the vector $v_{-1} \otimes v_{-1}$ gives the same result. The remaining cases are verified by the same calculation. $\square$

By the lemma, the element $R_V(u)$ coincides with the R -matrix (2.13) for $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_3$, up to a scalar factor. So, in order to verify that the mapping (4.17) defines a homomorphism $X(\mathfrak{o}_3) \rightarrow Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ we need to show that the relation

$$R_V(u-v) T_{1'}(u) T_{2'}(v) = T_{2'}(v) T_{1'}(u) R_V(u-v) \quad (4.22)$$

remains valid when $T(u)$ is replaced by its image. Here we use primed indices to indicate the copies of the space V in the tensor product $V \otimes V$. We reserve unprimed indices for the copies of $\mathbb{C}^2$ in the tensor product $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 4}$. The left hand side of (4.22) reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1+P_{12}}{2} \cdot \frac{1+P_{34}}{2} \cdot R_{14}^\circ(2u-2v-1) R_{13}^\circ(2u-2v) R_{24}^\circ(2u-2v) R_{23}^\circ(2u-2v+1) \\ & \times \frac{1+P_{12}}{2} \cdot T_1^\circ(2u) T_2^\circ(2u+1) \cdot \frac{1+P_{34}}{2} \cdot T_3^\circ(2v) T_4^\circ(2v+1). \end{aligned}$$

Writing the product of R -matrices in the equivalent form (4.19), we simplify this expression to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1+P_{12}}{2} \cdot \frac{1+P_{34}}{2} \cdot R_{14}^\circ(2u-2v-1) R_{13}^\circ(2u-2v) R_{24}^\circ(2u-2v) R_{23}^\circ(2u-2v+1) \\ & \times T_1^\circ(2u) T_2^\circ(2u+1) T_3^\circ(2v) T_4^\circ(2v+1). \end{aligned}$$

Now apply the RTT -relation (4.6) repeatedly to bring this expression to the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1+P_{12}}{2} \cdot \frac{1+P_{34}}{2} \cdot T_3^\circ(2v) T_4^\circ(2v+1) T_1^\circ(2u) T_2^\circ(2u+1) \\ & \times R_{14}^\circ(2u-2v-1) R_{13}^\circ(2u-2v) R_{24}^\circ(2u-2v) R_{23}^\circ(2u-2v+1). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since $R_{12}^\circ(-1)/2$ is a projection, we derive from (4.6) that

$$\frac{1+P_{12}}{2} \cdot T_1^\circ(2u) T_2^\circ(2u+1) = \frac{1+P_{12}}{2} \cdot T_1^\circ(2u) T_2^\circ(2u+1) \cdot \frac{1+P_{12}}{2}.$$

Using the same property of $R_{34}^\circ(-1)/2$ we obtain that the resulting expression coincides with the right hand side of (4.22), where $T(u)$ is replaced with its image in accordance with (4.17).

The explicit images of the generators of $X(\mathfrak{o}_3)$ are found by taking the matrix elements in (4.17). Indeed, the application of $T(u)$ to the basis vector v_{-1} of V gives

$$T(u)(v_{-1}) = t_{-1,-1}(u) v_{-1} + t_{0,-1}(u) v_0 + t_{1,-1}(u) v_1,$$

while

$$\frac{1+P_{12}}{2} \cdot T_1^\circ(2u) T_2^\circ(2u+1)(v_{-1}) = \frac{1+P_{12}}{2} \cdot T_1^\circ(2u) T_2^\circ(2u+1)(e_1 \otimes e_1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^2 T_{a1}(2u) T_{b1}(2u+1) (e_a \otimes e_b + e_b \otimes e_a) = T_{11}(2u) T_{11}(2u+1) v_{-1} \\
&+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(T_{11}(2u) T_{21}(2u+1) + T_{21}(2u) T_{11}(2u+1) \right) v_0 - T_{21}(2u) T_{21}(2u+1) v_1.
\end{aligned}$$

This agrees with the formulas for the images of the series $t_{a,-1}(u)$ for $a = -1, 0, 1$ given in the statement. The remaining formulas are verified in the same way. Note also that the image of the series $t_{0,0}(u)$ can be equivalently written as

$$T_{12}(2u) T_{21}(2u+1) + T_{22}(2u) T_{11}(2u+1)$$

due to the defining relation in the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$.

In order to complete the proof of the proposition we now verify that the homomorphism $X(\mathfrak{o}_3) \rightarrow Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ given by (4.17) is bijective. Taking the coefficient at u^{-r} in $t_{-1,-1}(u)$ we find that for any $r \geq 1$

$$t_{-1,-1}^{(r)} \mapsto 2^{-r+1} T_{11}^{(r)} + A_{r-1}(T_{11}^{(1)}, \dots, T_{11}^{(r-1)}),$$

where A_{r-1} stands for a quadratic polynomial in the generators $T_{11}^{(1)}, \dots, T_{11}^{(r-1)}$. The obvious induction on r shows that each generator $T_{11}^{(r)}$ with $r \geq 1$ belongs to the image of the homomorphism. Similarly, taking the image of $t_{1,1}^{(r)}$ we find that each generator $T_{22}^{(r)}$ with $r \geq 1$ also belongs to the image. Then taking the images of $t_{-1,0}^{(r)}$ and $t_{0,-1}^{(r)}$ we derive the same property of the generators $T_{12}^{(r)}$ and $T_{21}^{(r)}$ with $r \geq 1$. This proves that the homomorphism is surjective.

Finally, observe that the homomorphism preserves the respective filtrations on $X(\mathfrak{o}_3)$ and $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$. Hence, we have a homomorphism of the associated graded algebras $\text{gr } X(\mathfrak{o}_3) \rightarrow \text{gr } Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$. It suffices to show that this homomorphism is injective. Identifying $\text{gr } Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ with the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{gl}_2[x])$ via the isomorphism (4.9), we get

$$\bar{t}_{0,1}^{(r)} \mapsto -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} E_{12} (x/2)^{r-1}, \quad \bar{t}_{1,0}^{(r)} \mapsto -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} E_{21} (x/2)^{r-1}, \quad \bar{t}_{1,1}^{(r)} \mapsto E_{22} (x/2)^{r-1}$$

and

$$\bar{z}_r \mapsto (E_{11} + E_{22}) (x/2)^{r-1}.$$

Therefore, the injectivity of the homomorphism follows from Corollary 3.10. $\square$

Corollary 4.6. *The restriction of the isomorphism $\phi : X(\mathfrak{o}_3) \rightarrow Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ to the subalgebra $Y(\mathfrak{o}_3)$ induces an isomorphism $Y(\mathfrak{o}_3) \rightarrow Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.*

Proof. Recall that the subalgebra $Y(\mathfrak{o}_3)$ consists of the elements stable under all automorphisms of $X(\mathfrak{o}_3)$ of the form (2.21). For any series $f(u)$ of the form (2.20) there exists a unique series

$$g(u) = 1 + g_1 u^{-1} + g_2 u^{-2} + \cdots \in \mathbb{C}[[u^{-1}]]$$

such that $f(u) = g(2u)g(2u+1)$. By Proposition 4.4, we have the relation $\phi \circ \mu_f = \mu_g \circ \phi$, and hence $\mu_f \circ \phi^{-1} = \phi^{-1} \circ \mu_g$. This implies that the image of $Y(\mathfrak{o}_3)$ under the isomorphism ϕ coincides with the subalgebra $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ thus yielding the desired isomorphism. $\square$

Let us denote by c the Casimir element for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}_3$,

$$c = \frac{1}{2}(F_{11}^2 - F_{11}) + F_{10}F_{01}.$$

In the following we use notation (2.6).

Corollary 4.7. *The mapping*

$$\text{ev} : T(u) \mapsto 1 + \frac{F}{u} + \frac{F^2 - c}{u(2u-1)} \quad (4.23)$$

defines a surjective homomorphism $X(\mathfrak{o}_3) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{o}_3)$.

Proof. Writing the homomorphism $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ used in the proof of Corollary 4.3 in the current notation we get

$$\begin{aligned} T_{11}(u) &\mapsto 1 + (E_{11} - E_{22})(2u)^{-1}, & T_{12}(u) &\mapsto E_{12}u^{-1}, \\ T_{22}(u) &\mapsto 1 + (E_{22} - E_{11})(2u)^{-1}, & T_{21}(u) &\mapsto E_{21}u^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Composing this with the isomorphism $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_3$ given by

$$E_{11} - E_{22} \mapsto 2F_{-1,-1}, \quad E_{12} \mapsto \sqrt{2}F_{-1,0}, \quad E_{21} \mapsto \sqrt{2}F_{0,-1},$$

we get another homomorphism $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{o}_3)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{11}(u) &\mapsto 1 + F_{-1,-1}u^{-1}, & T_{12}(u) &\mapsto \sqrt{2}F_{-1,0}u^{-1}, \\ T_{22}(u) &\mapsto 1 + F_{1,1}u^{-1}, & T_{21}(u) &\mapsto \sqrt{2}F_{0,-1}u^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, compose the isomorphism of Proposition 4.4 with the shift automorphism $t_{ij}(u) \mapsto t_{ij}(u - 1/2)$ of $X(\mathfrak{o}_3)$ and use the above formulas to get a homomorphism $X(\mathfrak{o}_3) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{o}_3)$. It remains to verify that the resulting formulas for the images of

$t_{ij}(u)$ agree with (4.23). This can be done by an easy straightforward calculation. For instance, for the image of $t_{0,0}(u)$ we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} t_{0,0}(u) &\mapsto T_{11}(2u-1)T_{22}(2u) + T_{21}(2u-1)T_{12}(2u) \\ &\mapsto \left(1 + \frac{F_{-1,-1}}{2u-1}\right) \left(1 + \frac{F_{1,1}}{2u}\right) + 2 \cdot \frac{F_{0,-1}}{2u-1} \cdot \frac{F_{-1,0}}{2u}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

On the other hand, formula (4.23) gives

$$\begin{aligned} t_{0,0}(u) &\mapsto 1 + \frac{2F_{0,-1}F_{-1,0} + 2F_{0,1}F_{1,0} - F_{11}^2 + F_{11} - 2F_{10}F_{01}}{2u(2u-1)} \\ &= 1 + \frac{2F_{0,-1}F_{-1,0} - F_{11}^2 - F_{11}}{2u(2u-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, this agrees with (4.24). All the remaining cases are verified by a similar and even shorter calculation. Obviously, the homomorphism (4.23) is surjective. $\square$

4.3 Extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{o}_4)$

We shall need the tensor product algebra $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$. In order to distinguish the two copies of the algebra $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$, we denote the corresponding generator series respectively by $T_{ij}(u)$ and $T'_{ij}(u)$ for the first and second copies, where $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. We also identify $T_{ij}(u) \otimes 1$ with $T_{ij}(u)$ and $1 \otimes T'_{ij}(u)$ with $T'_{ij}(u)$. As before, we combine the series $T_{ij}(u)$ and $T'_{ij}(u)$ into the matrices $T^\circ(u)$ and $T^{\circ'}(u)$, respectively.

The algebra $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ is naturally equipped with an ascending filtration, where the degrees of the elements on each copy of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ are defined by (4.8).

Consider the vector space $\mathbb{C}^2$ with its canonical basis e_1, e_2 and set $V = \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$. We identify V with $\mathbb{C}^4$ regarding the vectors

$$v_{-2} = e_1 \otimes e_1, \quad v_{-1} = e_1 \otimes e_2, \quad v_1 = e_2 \otimes e_1, \quad v_2 = -e_2 \otimes e_2$$

as the canonical basis of V . Then $T_1^\circ(u)T_2^{\circ'}(u)$ may be regarded as an element of $\text{End } V \otimes (Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2))[[u^{-1}]]$. The operators P_V and Q_V in $V \otimes V$ are given by the respective formulas (2.9) and (2.10). We shall regard the matrix $T(u) = (t_{ij}(u))$ as an element of $\text{End } V \otimes X(\mathfrak{o}_4)[[u^{-1}]]$.

Proposition 4.8. *The mapping*

$$T(u) \mapsto T_1^\circ(u)T_2^{\circ'}(u), \quad (4.25)$$

defines an embedding $\psi : X(\mathfrak{o}_4) \hookrightarrow Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$. More explicitly, the images of the generators under the embedding are given by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
t_{-2,-2}(u) &\mapsto T_{11}(u) T'_{11}(u), & t_{-2,-1}(u) &\mapsto T_{11}(u) T'_{12}(u), \\
t_{-2,1}(u) &\mapsto T_{12}(u) T'_{11}(u), & t_{-2,2}(u) &\mapsto -T_{12}(u) T'_{12}(u), \\
t_{-1,-2}(u) &\mapsto T_{11}(u) T'_{21}(u), & t_{-1,-1}(u) &\mapsto T_{11}(u) T'_{22}(u), \\
t_{-1,1}(u) &\mapsto T_{12}(u) T'_{21}(u), & t_{-1,2}(u) &\mapsto -T_{12}(u) T'_{22}(u), \\
t_{1,-2}(u) &\mapsto T_{21}(u) T'_{11}(u), & t_{1,-1}(u) &\mapsto T_{21}(u) T'_{12}(u), \\
t_{1,1}(u) &\mapsto T_{22}(u) T'_{11}(u), & t_{1,2}(u) &\mapsto -T_{22}(u) T'_{12}(u), \\
t_{2,-2}(u) &\mapsto -T_{21}(u) T'_{21}(u), & t_{2,-1}(u) &\mapsto -T_{21}(u) T'_{22}(u), \\
t_{2,1}(u) &\mapsto -T_{22}(u) T'_{21}(u), & t_{2,2}(u) &\mapsto T_{22}(u) T'_{22}(u).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We start by showing that the mapping defines an algebra homomorphism. Identifying $V \otimes V$ with the tensor product space $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 4}$, we set

$$R_V(u) = R_{13}^\circ(u) R_{24}^\circ(u). \quad (4.26)$$

Lemma 4.9. *We have the equality of operators in $V \otimes V$,*

$$R_V(u) = \frac{u-1}{u} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{P_V}{u} + \frac{Q_V}{u-1}\right). \quad (4.27)$$

Proof. We have

$$R_V(u) = \left(1 - \frac{P_{13}}{u}\right) \left(1 - \frac{P_{24}}{u}\right) = \frac{u-1}{u} \left(1 - \frac{P_{13}P_{24}}{u} + \frac{(1-P_{13})(1-P_{24})}{u-1}\right).$$

It remains to note that $P_V = P_{13}P_{24}$ and $Q_V = (1-P_{13})(1-P_{24})$. This is verified by the application of the operators to all basis vectors $v_i \otimes v_j$ of $V \otimes V$. For instance, by the definition of Q_V ,

$$Q_V(v_{-2} \otimes v_2) = v_{-2} \otimes v_2 + v_{-1} \otimes v_1 + v_1 \otimes v_{-1} + v_2 \otimes v_{-2},$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}
(1-P_{13})(1-P_{24})(v_{-2} \otimes v_2) &= (1-P_{13})(1-P_{24})(-e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_2) \\
&= -e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_2 + e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_1 + e_2 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_2 - e_2 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1,
\end{aligned}$$

which clearly coincides with $Q_V(v_{-2} \otimes v_2)$. The remaining relations are verified in the same way. $\square$

By the lemma, the element $R_V(u)$ coincides with the R -matrix (2.13) for $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_4$, up to a scalar factor. So, in order to verify that the mapping (4.25) defines a homomorphism $X(\mathfrak{o}_4) \rightarrow Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ we need to show that the relation

$$R_V(u-v) T_{1'}(u) T_{2'}(v) = T_{2'}(v) T_{1'}(u) R_V(u-v) \quad (4.28)$$

remains valid when $T(u)$ is replaced by its image. The primed indices are used here to indicate the copies of the space V in the tensor product $V \otimes V$. The left hand side of (4.28) reads

$$R_{13}^\circ(u-v) R_{24}^\circ(u-v) T_1^\circ(u) T_2^{\circ'}(u) T_3^\circ(v) T_4^{\circ'}(v).$$

Applying the RTT -relation (4.6) twice, we bring this expression to the form

$$T_3^\circ(v) T_4^{\circ'}(v) T_1^\circ(u) T_2^{\circ'}(u) R_{13}^\circ(u-v) R_{24}^\circ(u-v)$$

which coincides with the right hand side of (4.28), where $T(u)$ is replaced with its image in accordance with (4.25).

The explicit images of the generators of $X(\mathfrak{o}_4)$ are found by taking the matrix elements in (4.25). Indeed, the application of $T(u)$ to the basis vector v_{-2} of V gives

$$T(u)(v_{-2}) = t_{-2,-2}(u) v_{-2} + t_{-1,-2}(u) v_{-1} + t_{1,-2}(u) v_1 + t_{2,-2}(u) v_2,$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} T_1^\circ(u) T_2^{\circ'}(u)(v_{-2}) &= T_1^\circ(u) T_2^{\circ'}(u)(e_1 \otimes e_1) = \sum_{a,b=1}^2 T_{a1}(u) T_{b1}'(u) (e_a \otimes e_b) \\ &= T_{11}(u) T_{11}'(u) v_{-2} + T_{11}(u) T_{21}'(u) v_{-1} + T_{21}(u) T_{11}'(u) v_1 - T_{21}(u) T_{21}'(u) v_2. \end{aligned}$$

This agrees with the formulas for the images of the series $t_{a,-2}(u)$ for $a = -2, -1, 1, 2$ given in the statement. The remaining formulas are verified in the same way.

In order to demonstrate that the homomorphism ψ is injective, observe that it preserves the respective filtrations on $X(\mathfrak{o}_4)$ and $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$. Hence, we have a homomorphism of the associated graded algebras

$$\text{gr } X(\mathfrak{o}_4) \rightarrow \text{gr } (Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)).$$

Identifying the graded algebra $\text{gr } Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ with $U(\mathfrak{gl}_2[x])$ via the isomorphism (4.9), we get a homomorphism

$$\text{gr } X(\mathfrak{o}_4) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{gl}_2[x]) \otimes U(\mathfrak{gl}_2[y])$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{t}_{-1,2}^{(r)} &\mapsto -E_{12} x^{r-1}, & \bar{t}_{1,2}^{(r)} &\mapsto -E_{12} y^{r-1}, & \bar{t}_{1,1}^{(r)} &\mapsto E_{22} x^{r-1} + E_{11} y^{r-1}, \\ \bar{t}_{2,-1}^{(r)} &\mapsto -E_{21} x^{r-1}, & \bar{t}_{2,1}^{(r)} &\mapsto -E_{21} y^{r-1}, & \bar{t}_{2,2}^{(r)} &\mapsto E_{22} x^{r-1} + E_{22} y^{r-1},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\bar{z}_r \mapsto (E_{11} + E_{22}) x^{r-1} + (E_{11} + E_{22}) y^{r-1}.$$

Therefore, the injectivity of ψ follows from Corollary 3.10. $\square$

Due to the presentation of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ provided by (4.13) and (4.14) we have a natural projection $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \rightarrow Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ defined by the mapping $T_{ij}(u) \mapsto \mathcal{T}_{ij}(u)$. Applying this projection to the first or second copy of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ in the tensor product algebra $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ and taking its composition with the embedding ψ we get homomorphisms

$$\chi^{(1)} : X(\mathfrak{o}_4) \rightarrow Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2), \quad \chi^{(2)} : X(\mathfrak{o}_4) \rightarrow Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2).$$

Corollary 4.10. *The homomorphisms $\chi^{(1)}$ and $\chi^{(2)}$ are bijective.*

Proof. We only consider $\chi^{(1)}$, the proof for $\chi^{(2)}$ is similar. By the formulas of Proposition 4.8 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\chi^{(1)} : t_{-2,-2}(u) t_{1,1}(u-1) - t_{1,-2}(u) t_{-2,1}(u-1) &\mapsto \\ \left(\mathcal{T}_{11}(u) \mathcal{T}_{22}(u-1) - \mathcal{T}_{21}(u) \mathcal{T}_{12}(u-1) \right) T'_{11}(u) T'_{11}(u-1) &= T'_{11}(u) T'_{11}(u-1).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, all the coefficients of the series $T'_{11}(u)$ belong to the image of $\chi^{(1)}$. Hence, so do the coefficients of $\mathcal{T}_{ij}(u)$ with $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. This implies that $\chi^{(1)}$ is surjective. To verify the injectivity of $\chi^{(1)}$ we use the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 4.8. Namely, $\chi^{(1)}$ induces a homomorphism of the associated graded algebras

$$\text{gr } X(\mathfrak{o}_4) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{sl}_2[x]) \otimes U(\mathfrak{gl}_2[y])$$

and the argument is completed by the application of Corollary 3.10. $\square$

Corollary 4.11. *The restriction of each isomorphism $\chi^{(1)}$ and $\chi^{(2)}$ to the subalgebra $Y(\mathfrak{o}_4)$ induces an isomorphism $Y(\mathfrak{o}_4) \rightarrow Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.*

Proof. Again, we only consider the isomorphism $\chi^{(1)}$. The subalgebra $Y(\mathfrak{o}_4)$ consists of the elements stable under all automorphisms of $X(\mathfrak{o}_4)$ of the form (2.21). For any formal series $f(u)$ of the form (2.20) consider the automorphism $\tilde{\mu}_f$ of the algebra $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ defined by

$$\tilde{\mu}_f : \mathcal{T}_{ij}(u) \mapsto \mathcal{T}_{ij}(u), \quad T'_{ij}(u) \mapsto f(u) T'_{ij}(u).$$

By the definition of $\chi^{(1)}$, we have the relation $\chi^{(1)} \circ \mu_f = \tilde{\mu}_f \circ \chi^{(1)}$. This implies that if $y \in Y(\mathfrak{o}_4)$ then $\chi^{(1)}(y)$ is stable under the automorphisms $\tilde{\mu}_f$ for all series $f(u)$. Hence, the image of the subalgebra $Y(\mathfrak{o}_4)$ of $X(\mathfrak{o}_4)$ under the isomorphism $\chi^{(1)}$ coincides with the subalgebra $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ of $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ thus providing the desired isomorphism. $\square$

Let us denote by c the following Casimir element for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}_4$,

$$c = \frac{1}{2}(F_{11}^2 + F_{22}^2) - F_{22} + F_{21}F_{12} + F_{2,-1}F_{-1,2}.$$

In the following we use notation (2.6).

Corollary 4.12. *The mapping*

$$\text{ev} : T(u) \mapsto 1 + \frac{F}{u} + \frac{F^2 - F - c}{2u^2} \quad (4.29)$$

defines a surjective homomorphism $X(\mathfrak{o}_4) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{o}_4)$.

Proof. Consider the isomorphism $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_4$ given by

$$E_{11} - E_{22} \mapsto -F_{11} - F_{22}, \quad E_{12} \mapsto F_{-2,1}, \quad E_{21} \mapsto F_{1,-2},$$

and

$$E'_{11} - E'_{22} \mapsto F_{11} - F_{22}, \quad E'_{12} \mapsto F_{-2,-1}, \quad E'_{21} \mapsto F_{-1,-2},$$

where the primes indicate the basis elements of the second copy of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. Applying the homomorphism $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ used in the proof of Corollary 4.7, we get a homomorphism $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{o}_4)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{11}(u) &\mapsto 1 - \frac{F_{11} + F_{22}}{2} u^{-1}, & T_{12}(u) &\mapsto F_{-2,1} u^{-1}, \\ T_{22}(u) &\mapsto 1 + \frac{F_{11} + F_{22}}{2} u^{-1}, & T_{21}(u) &\mapsto F_{1,-2} u^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T'_{11}(u) &\mapsto 1 + \frac{F_{11} - F_{22}}{2} u^{-1}, & T'_{12}(u) &\mapsto F_{-2,-1} u^{-1}, \\ T'_{22}(u) &\mapsto 1 - \frac{F_{11} - F_{22}}{2} u^{-1}, & T'_{21}(u) &\mapsto F_{-1,-2} u^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the isomorphism of Proposition 4.8 we get a homomorphism $X(\mathfrak{o}_4) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{o}_4)$. It remains to verify that the resulting formulas for the images of $t_{ij}(u)$ agree with

(4.29). This can be done by an easy straightforward calculation. For instance, for the image of $t_{-2,-2}(u)$ we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} t_{-2,-2}(u) &\mapsto T_{11}(u) T'_{11}(u) \mapsto \left(1 - \frac{F_{11} + F_{22}}{2} u^{-1}\right) \left(1 + \frac{F_{11} - F_{22}}{2} u^{-1}\right) \\ &= 1 + F_{-2,-2} u^{-1} + \frac{F_{-2,-2}^2 - F_{-1,-1}^2}{4} u^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

On the other hand, formula (4.29) gives

$$t_{-2,-2}(u) \mapsto 1 + F_{-2,-2} u^{-1} + \frac{F_{-2,-2}^2 + F_{-2,-1} F_{-1,-2} + F_{-2,1} F_{1,-2} - F_{-2,-2} - c}{2u^2}$$

which agrees with (4.30). All the remaining cases are verified by a similar calculation. Obviously, the homomorphism (4.29) is surjective. $\square$

Remark 4.13. The respective compositions of the evaluation homomorphisms provided by Corollaries 4.3, 4.7 and 4.12 with the shift automorphism τ_a given by (2.22) yields the homomorphisms $\text{ev}_a = \text{ev} \circ \tau_a$ with the evaluation parameter a . $\square$

5 Representations of the extended Yangians

Here we introduce the highest weight representations for the extended Yangians $X(\mathfrak{a})$, where as before, $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ or $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}$. We show by a standard argument that finite-dimensional irreducible representations of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ are highest weight representations. Then we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the irreducible highest weight representations to be finite-dimensional. In particular, we obtain an alternative proof of Drinfeld's classification theorem for the finite-dimensional irreducible representations of the Yangians $Y(\mathfrak{a})$.

5.1 Highest weight representations

A representation V of the algebra $X(\mathfrak{a})$ is called a *highest weight representation* if there exists a nonzero vector $\xi \in V$ such that V is generated by ξ ,

$$\begin{aligned} t_{ij}(u) \xi &= 0 & \text{for } -n \leq i < j \leq n, & \quad \text{and} \\ t_{ii}(u) \xi &= \lambda_i(u) \xi & \text{for } -n \leq i \leq n, \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

for some formal series

$$\lambda_i(u) = 1 + \lambda_i^{(1)} u^{-1} + \lambda_i^{(2)} u^{-2} + \cdots, \quad \lambda_i^{(r)} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (5.2)$$

where the value $i = 0$ only occurs in the case $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$. The vector ξ is called the *highest vector* of V and the tuple $\lambda(u) = (\lambda_{-n}(u), \dots, \lambda_n(u))$ of the formal series is the *highest weight* of V .

Let us identify the elements $F_{ij} \in \mathfrak{a}$ with their images in $X(\mathfrak{a})$ under the embedding (3.22). The defining relations (2.19) imply

$$[t_{ij}^{(1)}, t_{kl}(u)] = \delta_{kj} t_{il}(u) - \delta_{il} t_{kj}(u) - \delta_{k,-i} \theta_{ij} t_{-j,l}(u) + \delta_{l,-j} \theta_{ij} t_{k,-i}(u).$$

Also, due to (2.28) we have

$$t_{ij}^{(1)} + \theta_{ij} t_{-j,-i}^{(1)} = \delta_{ij} z_1.$$

Therefore, $F_{ij} = t_{ij}^{(1)} - \delta_{ij} z_1/2$. Since z_1 is central in $X(\mathfrak{a})$, this gives

$$[F_{ij}, t_{kl}(u)] = \delta_{kj} t_{il}(u) - \delta_{il} t_{kj}(u) - \delta_{k,-i} \theta_{ij} t_{-j,l}(u) + \delta_{l,-j} \theta_{ij} t_{k,-i}(u). \quad (5.3)$$

Take the linear span of the elements $F_{11}, \dots, F_{nn}$ as the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{a}$ and consider the standard triangular decomposition of $\mathfrak{a}$. Then the nonzero elements F_{ij} with $i < j$ are the positive root vectors. The corresponding positive roots are

$$-\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, \quad \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \quad \text{with} \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n$$

for $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$,

$$-2\varepsilon_i \quad \text{with} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad -\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, \quad \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \quad \text{with} \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n$$

for $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, and

$$-\varepsilon_i \quad \text{with} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad -\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, \quad \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \quad \text{with} \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n$$

for $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$, where ε_i denotes the element of $\mathfrak{h}^*$ defined by $\varepsilon_i(F_{jj}) = \delta_{ij}$. The standard partial ordering on the set of weights of any $\mathfrak{a}$ -module is now defined as follows. If α and β are two weights, then α precedes β if $\beta - \alpha$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -linear combination of the positive roots.

Theorem 5.1. *Every finite-dimensional irreducible representation V of the algebra $X(\mathfrak{a})$ is a highest weight representation. Moreover, V contains a unique, up to a constant factor, highest vector.*

Proof. Introduce the subspace V^0 of V by

$$V^0 = \{\eta \in V \mid t_{ij}(u)\eta = 0, \quad -n \leq i < j \leq n\}. \quad (5.4)$$

We show first that V^0 is nonzero. Consider the set of weights of V , where V is regarded as the $\mathfrak{a}$ -module defined via the embedding (3.22). This set is finite and hence contains a maximal weight ν with respect to the partial ordering on the set of weights of V . The corresponding weight vector η belongs to V^0 . Indeed, if $i < j$ then by (5.3) the weight of $t_{ij}(u)\eta$ has the form $\nu + \alpha$ for a positive root α . By the maximality of ν , we have $t_{ij}(u)\eta = 0$.

Next, we show that all the operators $t_{kk}(u)$ preserve the subspace V^0 . Consider first the case $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$. In the following argument we write $\equiv$ for an equality of operators in V^0 . Due to (5.3), it suffices to show that for any i and k we have

$$t_{i,i+1}(u)t_{kk}(v) \equiv 0. \quad (5.5)$$

Suppose first that $i < k$. Then (5.5) is immediate from (2.19) except for the cases $i = -k$ and $i = -k - 1$. In the former case, we have $k > 0$ and so (2.19) gives

$$t_{-k,-k+1}(u)t_{kk}(v) \equiv -\frac{1}{u-v-\kappa} \sum_{p=k}^n t_{-p,-k+1}(u)t_{pk}(v), \quad (5.6)$$

while for each $p \geq k$,

$$t_{-p,-k+1}(u)t_{pk}(v) \equiv -\frac{1}{u-v-\kappa} \sum_{q=k}^n t_{-q,-k+1}(u)t_{qk}(v).$$

Hence, $t_{-p,-k+1}(u)t_{pk}(v) \equiv t_{-k,-k+1}(u)t_{kk}(v)$. So, (5.6) implies

$$\left(1 + \frac{n-k+1}{u-v-\kappa}\right) t_{-k,-k+1}(u)t_{kk}(v) \equiv 0$$

and thus, $t_{-k,-k+1}(u)t_{kk}(v) \equiv 0$ verifying (5.5).

Similarly, in the case $i = -k - 1$ we have $k \geq 0$ and so

$$t_{-k-1,-k}(u)t_{kk}(v) \equiv \frac{1}{u-v-\kappa} \sum_{p=k+1}^n t_{kp}(v)t_{-k-1,-p}(u). \quad (5.7)$$

For each $p \geq k+1$ we have

$$t_{kp}(v)t_{-k-1,-p}(u) \equiv -[t_{-k-1,-p}(u), t_{kp}(v)] \equiv -\frac{1}{u-v-\kappa} \sum_{q=k+1}^n t_{kq}(v)t_{-k-1,-q}(u).$$

Therefore, $t_{kp}(v)t_{-k-1,-p}(u) \equiv -t_{-k-1,-k}(u)t_{kk}(v)$. So, (5.7) gives

$$\left(1 + \frac{n-k}{u-v-\kappa}\right) t_{-k-1,-k}(u)t_{kk}(v) \equiv 0$$

implying (5.5) in the case under consideration.

Suppose now that $i \geq k$. We can write

$$t_{i,i+1}(u) t_{kk}(v) \equiv -[t_{kk}(v), t_{i,i+1}(u)].$$

Now (5.5) is immediate from (2.19) except for the cases $i = -k$ and $i = -k - 1$. In the former case, we have $k \leq 0$ and so (2.19) gives

$$t_{-k,-k+1}(u) t_{kk}(v) \equiv \frac{1}{v-u-\kappa} \sum_{p=-k+1}^n t_{-p,k}(v) t_{p,-k+1}(u), \quad (5.8)$$

while for each $p \geq -k + 1$,

$$t_{-p,k}(v) t_{p,-k+1}(u) \equiv -\frac{1}{v-u-\kappa} \sum_{q=-k+1}^n t_{-q,k}(v) t_{q,-k+1}(u).$$

Hence, $t_{-p,k}(v) t_{p,-k+1}(u) \equiv -t_{-k,-k+1}(u) t_{kk}(v)$. So, (5.8) implies

$$\left(1 + \frac{n+k}{v-u-\kappa}\right) t_{-k,-k+1}(u) t_{kk}(v) \equiv 0$$

verifying (5.5).

Finally, let $i = -k - 1$. Then $k < 0$ and

$$t_{-k-1,-k}(u) t_{kk}(v) \equiv -[t_{kk}(v), t_{-k-1,-k}(u)] \equiv -\frac{1}{v-u-\kappa} \sum_{p=-k}^n t_{-k-1,p}(u) t_{k,-p}(v). \quad (5.9)$$

For each $p \geq -k$ we have

$$t_{-k-1,p}(u) t_{k,-p}(v) \equiv -[t_{k,-p}(v), t_{-k-1,p}(u)] \equiv -\frac{1}{v-u-\kappa} \sum_{q=-k}^n t_{-k-1,q}(u) t_{k,-q}(v).$$

Therefore, $t_{-k-1,p}(u) t_{k,-p}(v) \equiv t_{-k-1,-k}(u) t_{kk}(v)$. So, (5.9) gives

$$\left(1 + \frac{n+k+1}{v-u-\kappa}\right) t_{-k-1,-k}(u) t_{kk}(v) \equiv 0$$

completing the proof of (5.5).

For the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ and $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ the argument is essentially the same as in the previous case. If $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, then due to (5.3), it suffices to show that (5.5) holds for $i \in \{-n, \dots, -2, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ and all k , together with the relation

$$t_{-1,1}(u) t_{kk}(v) \equiv 0. \quad (5.10)$$

This relation is immediate from (2.19) for $k > 1$ and $k < -1$; for the latter we apply (2.19) to the commutator $[t_{kk}(v), t_{-1,1}(u)]$. If $k = 1$ or $k = -1$ then the claim is verified by a calculation similar to the cases (5.6) and (5.8), respectively.

If $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$, then it is sufficient to verify (5.5) for $i \in \{-n, \dots, -2, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ and all k , together with the relations (5.10) and $t_{-1,2}(u) t_{kk}(v) \equiv 0$. The calculation is again a repetition of the one for $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$.

Now we verify that all the operators $t_{ii}^{(r)}$ on the space V^0 with $i \in \{-n, \dots, n\}$ and $r \geq 1$ comprise a commutative family. First of all, by (2.19) we have $[t_{ii}(u), t_{ii}(v)] = 0$ for any $i \neq 0$. Furthermore, for any $i < j$ such that $i + j \neq 0$ we have

$$(u - v) [t_{ii}(u), t_{jj}(v)] = t_{ji}(u) t_{ij}(v) - t_{ji}(v) t_{ij}(u)$$

and so, $[t_{ii}(u), t_{jj}(v)] \equiv 0$ as operators on V^0 . Next, for any $0 \leq i \leq j$ set

$$A_{ij} = t_{-j,-i}(u) t_{ji}(v) - t_{ij}(v) t_{-i,-j}(u),$$

where the value $i = 0$ only occurs in the case $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$. By (2.19), we get

$$A_{00} \equiv \frac{1}{u - v} A_{00} - \frac{1}{u - v - \kappa} \sum_{j=0}^n A_{0j}, \quad (5.11)$$

and for any $i > 0$

$$A_{ii} \equiv -\frac{1}{u - v - \kappa} \sum_{j=i}^n A_{ij}, \quad (5.12)$$

as operators on V^0 , while for $0 \leq i < j$ we have

$$A_{ij} \equiv -\frac{1}{u - v - \kappa} \sum_{k=i}^n A_{ik} - \frac{1}{u - v - \kappa} \sum_{l=j}^n A_{jl}.$$

This implies

$$A_{ij} \equiv A_{ii} - A_{jj}$$

for $0 < i < j$, and

$$A_{0j} = \frac{u - v - 1}{u - v} A_{00} - A_{jj}$$

for $j > 0$. Hence, (5.12) gives

$$\left(1 + \frac{n - i + 1}{u - v - \kappa}\right) A_{ii} - \frac{1}{u - v - \kappa} \sum_{j=i+1}^n A_{jj} \equiv 0,$$

thus proving that $A_{ii} = [t_{-i,-i}(u), t_{ii}(v)] \equiv 0$ for all $i > 0$ by an obvious induction. Moreover, in the case $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$, we derive from (5.11) that $A_{00} = [t_{00}(u), t_{00}(v)] \equiv 0$.

Since the operators $t_{ii}^{(r)}$ on V^0 are pairwise commuting, they have a simultaneous eigenvector $\xi \in V^0$. Then ξ satisfies the conditions (5.1). Moreover, since V is irreducible, the submodule $X(\mathfrak{a})\xi$ must coincide with V so that V is a highest weight module over $X(\mathfrak{a})$. In particular, ξ is an $\mathfrak{a}$ -weight vector with a certain weight ν .

Finally, since the central elements z_r act on V as scalar operators, Corollary 3.10 implies that the vector space V is spanned by the elements

$$t_{j_1 i_1}^{(r_1)} \cdots t_{j_m i_m}^{(r_m)} \xi, \quad m \geq 0,$$

with $j_a > i_a$ and $r_a \geq 1$. Hence, by (5.3) the $\mathfrak{a}$ -weight space V_ν is one-dimensional and spanned by the vector ξ . Moreover, if ρ is a weight of V and $\rho \neq \nu$ then ρ strictly precedes ν . This proves that the highest vector ξ of V is determined uniquely, up to a constant factor. $\square$

Given any tuple $\lambda(u) = (\lambda_{-n}(u), \dots, \lambda_n(u))$ of formal series of the form (5.2), we define the *Verma module* $M(\lambda(u))$ as the quotient of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ by the left ideal generated by all the coefficients of the series $t_{ij}(u)$ with $-n \leq i < j \leq n$, and $t_{ii}(u) - \lambda_i(u)$ for $i = -n, \dots, n$. As we shall see below, the Verma module $M(\lambda(u))$ can be trivial for some $\lambda(u)$. In the non-trivial case, the Verma module $M(\lambda(u))$ is a highest weight representation of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ with the highest weight $\lambda(u)$ and the highest vector 1_λ which is the canonical image of the element $1 \in X(\mathfrak{a})$. Moreover, any highest weight representation of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ with the highest weight $\lambda(u)$ is isomorphic to a quotient of $M(\lambda(u))$. Regarding $M(\lambda(u))$ as an $\mathfrak{a}$ -module, we obtain the weight space decomposition

$$M(\lambda(u)) = \bigoplus_{\nu} M(\lambda(u))_{\nu},$$

summed over all $\mathfrak{a}$ -weights $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ of $M(\lambda(u))$, where

$$M(\lambda(u))_{\nu} = \{\eta \in M(\lambda(u)) \mid F_{ii} \eta = \nu_i \eta, \quad i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

By (5.3), the set of weights of $M(\lambda(u))$ coincides with that of the $\mathfrak{a}$ -Verma module with the highest weight $\lambda^{(1)} = (\lambda_1^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda_n^{(1)})$. This set consists of all weights of the form $\lambda^{(1)} - \omega$, where ω is a $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -linear combination of the positive roots.

One easily shows that any submodule K of a non-trivial Verma module $M(\lambda(u))$ admits the weight space decomposition

$$K = \bigoplus_{\nu} K_{\nu}, \quad K_{\nu} = K \cap M(\lambda(u))_{\nu}.$$

This implies that the sum of all proper submodules is the unique maximal proper submodule of $M(\lambda(u))$. The *irreducible highest weight representation* $L(\lambda(u))$ of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ with the highest weight $\lambda(u)$ is defined as the quotient of the Verma module $M(\lambda(u))$ by the unique maximal proper submodule.

Proposition 5.2. *Let V be a highest weight representation of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ with the highest weight $\lambda(u) = (\lambda_{-n}(u), \dots, \lambda_n(u))$ with some series (5.2). Then the coefficients of the series $z(u)$ act on V as scalar operators determined by $z(u)|_V = \lambda_{-n}(u + \kappa) \lambda_n(u)$.*

Proof. Let ξ be the highest vector of V . Then $V = X(\mathfrak{a}) \xi$ so that $z(u)$ acts on V as a scalar function determined by its action on ξ . However, taking $k = l = n$ in (2.28) we get

$$z(u) = \sum_{i=-n}^n \theta_{ni} t_{-i, -n}(u + \kappa) t_{in}(u). \quad (5.13)$$

Therefore, $z(u) \xi = \lambda_{-n}(u + \kappa) \lambda_n(u) \xi$. $\square$

5.2 Representations of low rank Yangians

Using the results on representations of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ (Tarasov [25, 26]; see also [7, Chapter 12], [16]), and the isomorphisms constructed in Section 4, we describe here the finite-dimensional irreducible representations of the extended Yangians $X(\mathfrak{o}_3)$, $X(\mathfrak{sp}_2)$ and $X(\mathfrak{o}_4)$. For the sake of completeness, we also reproduce a simplified version of Tarasov's classification theorem for the representations of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$; cf. [16].

We shall use the notation for the generators of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ introduced in Section 4. A representation L of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ is called a *highest weight representation* if there exists a nonzero vector $\zeta \in L$ such that L is generated by ζ and the following relations hold

$$T_{12}(u) \zeta = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (5.14)$$

$$T_{ii}(u) \zeta = \mu_i(u) \zeta \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2. \quad (5.15)$$

for some formal series

$$\mu_i(u) = 1 + \mu_i^{(1)} u^{-1} + \mu_i^{(2)} u^{-2} + \dots, \quad \mu_i^{(r)} \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (5.16)$$

The vector ζ is called the *highest vector* of L , and the pair $\mu(u) = (\mu_1(u), \mu_2(u))$ is the *highest weight* of L . A standard argument, similar to the one used in Section 5.1 (see e.g. [16]), shows that every finite-dimensional irreducible representation of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ is a highest weight representation. Given any pair of series $\mu(u) = (\mu_1(u), \mu_2(u))$, the corresponding Verma module $M(\mu(u))$ for $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ is the quotient of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ by the left ideal generated by all the coefficients of the series $T_{12}(u)$ and $T_{ii}(u) - \mu_i(u)$ for $i = 1, 2$. When the components of $\mu(u)$ satisfy the condition $\mu_1(u) \mu_2(u - 1) = 1$ then $M(\mu(u))$ may also be regarded as a module over the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

The $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ -module $M(\mu(u))$ has a unique irreducible quotient $L(\mu(u))$. Thus, any finite-dimensional irreducible representation of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ is isomorphic to $L(\mu(u))$ for a

pair $\mu(u) = (\mu_1(u), \mu_2(u))$. It remains to describe the highest weights $\mu(u)$ which correspond to finite-dimensional modules $L(\mu(u))$. This is given by the following theorem due to Tarasov [25, 26] in Drinfeld's version [12].

Theorem 5.3. *The irreducible highest weight representation $L(\mu(u))$ of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ is finite-dimensional if and only if there exists a monic polynomial $P(u)$ in u such that*

$$\frac{\mu_1(u)}{\mu_2(u)} = \frac{P(u+1)}{P(u)}. \quad (5.17)$$

In this case, $P(u)$ is unique.

Proof. We shall need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.4. *If $\dim L(\mu(u)) < \infty$ then there exists a formal series*

$$f(u) = 1 + f_1 u^{-1} + f_2 u^{-2} + \dots, \quad f_r \in \mathbb{C},$$

such that $f(u)\mu_1(u)$ and $f(u)\mu_2(u)$ are polynomials in u^{-1} .

Proof. By twisting the action of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ on $L(\mu(u))$ by the automorphism (4.11) with $g(u) = \mu_2(u)^{-1}$, we obtain a module over $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ which is isomorphic to the irreducible highest weight representation $L(\nu(u), 1)$ with $\nu(u) = \mu_1(u)/\mu_2(u)$. So, we may assume without loss of generality that the highest weight of $L(\mu(u))$ has the form $\mu(u) = (\nu(u), 1)$. Let ζ denote the highest vector of the Verma module $M(\nu(u), 1)$. Since $\dim L(\nu(u), 1) < \infty$, the vectors $T_{21}^{(i)} \zeta \in M(\nu(u), 1)$ with $i \geq 1$ are linearly dependent modulo the maximal proper submodule K of $M(\nu(u), 1)$. Hence, $M(\nu(u), 1)$ contains a nonzero vector $\xi \in K$ of the form

$$\xi = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i T_{21}^{(i)} \zeta, \quad c_i \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Here m is a positive integer and we may assume that $c_m \neq 0$. Then we have $T_{12}^{(r)} \xi = 0$ for all $r \geq 1$ because otherwise the highest vector ζ would belong to K . Write

$$\nu(u) = 1 + \nu^{(1)} u^{-1} + \nu^{(2)} u^{-2} + \dots, \quad \nu^{(i)} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

By the defining relations (4.2), in $M(\nu(u), 1)$ we have

$$T_{12}^{(r)} T_{21}^{(i)} \zeta = \sum_{a=1}^{\min(r,i)} \left(T_{22}^{(a-1)} T_{11}^{(r+i-a)} - T_{22}^{(r+i-a)} T_{11}^{(a-1)} \right) \zeta = \nu^{(r+i-1)} \zeta.$$

Hence, for all $r \geq 1$ we have the relations

$$\sum_{i=1}^m c_i \nu^{(r+i-1)} = 0.$$

They imply

$$\nu(u)(c_1 + c_2 u + \cdots + c_m u^{m-1}) = (b_1 + b_2 u + \cdots + b_m u^{m-1})$$

for some coefficients $b_i \in \mathbb{C}$ with $b_m = c_m$. Thus, taking now

$$f(u) = c_m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m c_i u^{-m+i}$$

we conclude that both $f(u)\nu(u)$ and $f(u)1$ are polynomials in u^{-1} . $\square$

Thus, taking the composition of the representation of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ on $L(\mu(u))$ with an appropriate automorphism of the form (4.11), we can get another highest weight representation of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ where both components of the highest weight are polynomials in u^{-1} .

For any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ consider the irreducible highest weight representation $L(\alpha, \beta)$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_2$ and equip it with a $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ -module structure via the evaluation homomorphism (4.10). Let ζ denote the highest vector of $L(\alpha, \beta)$. Then

$$E_{11} \zeta = \alpha \zeta, \quad E_{22} \zeta = \beta \zeta, \quad E_{12} \zeta = 0.$$

Moreover, if $\alpha - \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ then the vectors $(E_{21})^r \zeta$ with $r = 0, 1, \dots, \alpha - \beta$ form a basis of $L(\alpha, \beta)$ so that $\dim L(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha - \beta + 1$. If $\alpha - \beta \notin \mathbb{Z}_+$ then a basis of $L(\alpha, \beta)$ is formed by the vectors $(E_{21})^r \zeta$, where r runs over all nonnegative integers.

Now let $\mu_1(u)$ and $\mu_2(u)$ be polynomials in u^{-1} of degree not more than k . Write the decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(u) &= (1 + \alpha_1 u^{-1}) \cdots (1 + \alpha_k u^{-1}), \\ \mu_2(u) &= (1 + \beta_1 u^{-1}) \cdots (1 + \beta_k u^{-1}), \end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

where the constants α_i and β_i are complex numbers (some of them are zero if the degree of the corresponding polynomial is strictly less than k).

For any $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ -modules L_1 and L_2 , their tensor product $L_1 \otimes L_2$ is equipped with a $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ -module structure defined by the coproduct (4.7).

Lemma 5.5. *Re-number the parameters α_i and β_i if necessary, so that for every index $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ the following condition holds: if the multiset $\{\alpha_p - \beta_q \mid i \leq p, q \leq k\}$ contains nonnegative integers, then $\alpha_i - \beta_i$ is minimal amongst them. Then the representation $L(\mu_1(u), \mu_2(u))$ of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ is isomorphic to the tensor product module*

$$L(\alpha_1, \beta_1) \otimes L(\alpha_2, \beta_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\alpha_k, \beta_k). \tag{5.19}$$

Proof. Let us denote the module (5.19) by L and let ζ_i be the highest vector of $L(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Using the definition of the coproduct on $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ we derive that the cyclic span $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)\zeta$ of the vector $\zeta = \zeta_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \zeta_k$ is a highest weight module with the highest weight $(\mu_1(u), \mu_2(u))$. Therefore, the proposition will follow if we prove that the module L is irreducible.

We claim that any vector $\xi \in L$ satisfying $T_{12}(u)\xi = 0$ is proportional to ζ . We shall prove this claim by induction on k . This is obvious for $k = 1$ so suppose that $k \geq 2$. Write any such vector ξ , which is assumed to be nonzero, in the form

$$\xi = \sum_{r=0}^p (E_{21})^r \zeta_1 \otimes \xi_r \quad \text{where} \quad \xi_r \in L(\alpha_2, \beta_2) \otimes \dots \otimes L(\alpha_k, \beta_k)$$

and p is some non-negative integer. Moreover, if $\alpha_1 - \beta_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ then we may and will assume that $p \leq \alpha_1 - \beta_1$. We also assume that $\xi_p \neq 0$. Applying $T_{12}(u)$ to ξ , with the use of (4.7) we get

$$\sum_{r=0}^p \left(T_{11}(u)(E_{21})^r \zeta_1 \otimes T_{12}(u)\xi_r + T_{12}(u)(E_{21})^r \zeta_1 \otimes T_{22}(u)\xi_r \right) = 0. \quad (5.20)$$

Using the definition of the Yangian action on $L(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$ and commutation relations in $\mathfrak{gl}_2$, we obtain

$$T_{11}(u)(E_{21})^r \zeta_1 = (1 + E_{11}u^{-1})(E_{21})^r \zeta_1 = (1 + (\alpha_1 - r)u^{-1})(E_{21})^r \zeta_1,$$

and

$$T_{12}(u)(E_{21})^r \zeta_1 = u^{-1}E_{12}(E_{21})^r \zeta_1 = u^{-1}r(\alpha_1 - \beta_1 - r + 1)(E_{21})^{r-1} \zeta_1.$$

Hence, taking the coefficient at $(E_{21})^p \zeta_1$ in (5.20) gives

$$(1 + (\alpha_1 - p)u^{-1})T_{12}(u)\xi_p = 0,$$

implying the relation $T_{12}(u)\xi_p = 0$. By the induction hypothesis, applied to the $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ -module $L(\alpha_2, \beta_2) \otimes \dots \otimes L(\alpha_k, \beta_k)$, the vector ξ_p must be proportional to $\zeta_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \zeta_k$. Therefore, using (4.7) we get

$$T_{22}(u)\xi_p = (1 + \beta_2 u^{-1}) \dots (1 + \beta_k u^{-1})\xi_p. \quad (5.21)$$

In order to complete the proof of the claim it now suffices to show that p must be equal to zero. Suppose by way of contradiction that $p \geq 1$. Then taking the coefficient at $(E_{21})^{p-1} \zeta_1$ in (5.20) we derive

$$(1 + (\alpha_1 - p + 1)u^{-1})T_{12}(u)\xi_{p-1} + u^{-1}p(\alpha_1 - \beta_1 - p + 1)T_{22}(u)\xi_p = 0.$$

Hence, multiplying by u^k and taking into account (5.21) we get

$$(u + \alpha_1 - p + 1)u^{k-1}T_{12}(u)\xi_{p-1} + p(\alpha_1 - \beta_1 - p + 1)(u + \beta_2) \dots (u + \beta_k)\xi_p = 0.$$

Now observe that the vector $u^{k-1}T_{12}(u)\xi_{p-1}$ depends on u polynomially. This follows by an easy induction with the use of (4.7). So, taking the value $u = -\alpha_1 + p - 1$ we obtain the relation

$$p(\alpha_1 - \beta_1 - p + 1)(\alpha_1 - \beta_2 - p + 1) \dots (\alpha_1 - \beta_k - p + 1) = 0.$$

But this is impossible due to the conditions on the parameters α_i and β_i . Thus, p must be zero and the claim follows.

Suppose now that M is a nonzero submodule of L . Then M must contain a nonzero vector ξ such that $T_{12}(u)\xi = 0$. Indeed, this follows from the fact that the set of $\mathfrak{gl}_2$ -weights of L has an upper boundary. The above argument thus shows that M contains the vector ζ . It remains to prove that the cyclic span $K = Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)\zeta$ coincides with L .

Denote by $\varkappa$ the anti-automorphism of the algebra $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$, defined by

$$\varkappa : t_{ij}(u) \mapsto t_{3-i,3-j}(-u). \quad (5.22)$$

Consider the vector space L^* dual to L . That is, L^* is spanned by all linear maps $\sigma : L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the condition that the linear span of the vectors $\eta \in L$ such that $\sigma(\eta) \neq 0$, is finite-dimensional. Equip L^* with a $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ -module structure by setting

$$(y\sigma)(\eta) = \sigma(\varkappa(y)\eta) \quad \text{for } y \in Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma \in L^*, \eta \in L.$$

It is easy to see that the dual module $L(\alpha, \beta)^*$ to the evaluation module $L(\alpha, \beta)$ is isomorphic to $L(-\beta, -\alpha)$. Moreover, the $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ -module L^* is isomorphic to the tensor product module

$$L(-\beta_1, -\alpha_1) \otimes \dots \otimes L(-\beta_k, -\alpha_k).$$

This is deduced from the fact that the anti-automorphism $\varkappa$ commutes with the coproduct Δ , where $\varkappa$ is extended to $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ by $\varkappa(x \otimes y) = \varkappa(x) \otimes \varkappa(y)$ for $x, y \in Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$. Furthermore, the highest vector ζ_i^* of the module $L(-\beta_i, -\alpha_i) \cong L(\alpha_i, \beta_i)^*$ can be identified with the element of $L(\alpha_i, \beta_i)^*$ such that $\zeta_i^*(\zeta_i) = 1$ and $\zeta_i^*(\eta_i) = 0$ for all weight vectors $\eta_i \in L(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$ whose weights are different from (α_i, β_i) .

Suppose now that the submodule K of L is proper and consider its annihilator

$$\text{Ann } K = \{\xi^* \in L^* \mid \xi^*(\eta) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \eta \in K\}.$$

Then $\text{Ann } K$ is a nonzero submodule of L^* , which does not contain the vector $\zeta_1^* \otimes \dots \otimes \zeta_k^*$. However, this contradicts the claim verified in the first part of the proof, because the condition on the parameters α_i and β_i remain satisfied after we replace each α_i by $-\beta_i$ and each β_i by $-\alpha_i$. $\square$

By this lemma, all differences $\alpha_i - \beta_i$ must be nonnegative integers because the representation $L(\lambda_1(u), \lambda_2(u))$ is finite-dimensional. Then the polynomial

$$P(u) = \prod_{i=1}^k (u + \beta_i)(u + \beta_i + 1) \dots (u + \alpha_i - 1) \quad (5.23)$$

obviously satisfies (5.17).

Conversely, suppose (5.17) holds for a polynomial $P(u) = (u + \gamma_1) \dots (u + \gamma_p)$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_1(u) &= (1 + (\gamma_1 + 1)u^{-1}) \dots (1 + (\gamma_p + 1)u^{-1}), \\ \nu_2(u) &= (1 + \gamma_1 u^{-1}) \dots (1 + \gamma_p u^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

and consider the tensor product module

$$L = L(\gamma_1 + 1, \gamma_1) \otimes L(\gamma_2 + 1, \gamma_2) \otimes \dots \otimes L(\gamma_p + 1, \gamma_p)$$

of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$. Obviously, this module is finite-dimensional. The cyclic $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ -span of the tensor product of the highest vectors of $L(\gamma_i + 1, \gamma_i)$ is a highest weight module with the highest weight $(\nu_1(u), \nu_2(u))$. Since this submodule is finite-dimensional, then so is its irreducible quotient $L(\nu_1(u), \nu_2(u))$. Since

$$\frac{\nu_1(u)}{\nu_2(u)} = \frac{\mu_1(u)}{\mu_2(u)},$$

there exists an automorphism of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ of the form (4.11) such that its composition with the representation $L(\nu_1(u), \nu_2(u))$ is isomorphic to $L(\mu_1(u), \mu_2(u))$. Thus, the latter is also finite-dimensional.

Finally, suppose that $Q(u)$ is another monic polynomial in u and

$$\frac{P(u+1)}{P(u)} = \frac{Q(u+1)}{Q(u)}.$$

This means that the ratio $P(u)/Q(u)$ is periodic in u which is only possible for $P(u) = Q(u)$. $\square$

The polynomial $P(u)$ is called the *Drinfeld polynomial* of the representation $L(\mu(u))$.

We now apply Theorem 5.3 to the low rank extended Yangians.

Corollary 5.6. *The Verma module $M(\lambda(u))$ over $X(\mathfrak{sp}_2)$ is non-trivial for any highest weight $\lambda(u) = (\lambda_{-1}(u), \lambda_1(u))$. Moreover, the $X(\mathfrak{sp}_2)$ -module $L(\lambda(u))$ is finite-dimensional if and only if there exists a monic polynomial $P(u)$ in u such that*

$$\frac{\lambda_{-1}(u)}{\lambda_1(u)} = \frac{P(u+2)}{P(u)}. \quad (5.24)$$

In this case, $P(u)$ is unique.

Proof. This is immediate from Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 5.3. $\square$

The evaluation homomorphism provided by Corollary 4.3 allows one to regard any irreducible $\mathfrak{sp}_2$ -module $V(\mu)$ as an $X(\mathfrak{sp}_2)$ -module. The corresponding evaluation module is immediately identified with an irreducible highest weight module.

Proposition 5.7. *The evaluation module $V(\mu)$ over $X(\mathfrak{sp}_2)$ is isomorphic to $L(\lambda(u))$ with*

$$\lambda_{-1}(u) = 1 - \mu_1 u^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_1(u) = 1 + \mu_1 u^{-1}. \quad \square$$

Corollary 5.8. *The Verma module $M(\lambda(u))$ over $X(\mathfrak{o}_3)$ is non-trivial if and only if the highest weight $\lambda(u) = (\lambda_{-1}(u), \lambda_0(u), \lambda_1(u))$ satisfies the condition*

$$\lambda_{-1}(u - 1/2) \lambda_1(u) = \lambda_0(u - 1/2) \lambda_0(u). \quad (5.25)$$

Moreover, if this condition holds then the $X(\mathfrak{o}_3)$ -module $L(\lambda(u))$ is finite-dimensional if and only if there exists a monic polynomial $P(u)$ in u such that

$$\frac{\lambda_0(u)}{\lambda_1(u)} = \frac{P(u+1/2)}{P(u)}. \quad (5.26)$$

In this case, $P(u)$ is unique.

Proof. Let the Verma module $M(\lambda(u))$ be non-trivial. By Proposition 4.4, we may regard $M(\lambda(u))$ as a $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ -module. In particular, we have

$$T_{11}(2u) T_{11}(2u+1) 1_\lambda = \lambda_{-1}(u) 1_\lambda,$$

where 1_λ is the highest vector of $M(\lambda(u))$. This implies that 1_λ is an eigenvector for $T_{11}(u)$, that is, $T_{11}(u) 1_\lambda = \mu_1(u) 1_\lambda$ for a certain series $\mu_1(u)$. Moreover, this series satisfies

$$\mu_1(2u) \mu_1(2u+1) = \lambda_{-1}(u). \quad (5.27)$$

Similarly, $T_{22}(u) 1_\lambda = \mu_2(u) 1_\lambda$ for a series $\mu_2(u)$ satisfying

$$\mu_2(2u) \mu_2(2u+1) = \lambda_1(u). \quad (5.28)$$

Furthermore, by the defining relations (4.3) we have

$$T_{12}(2u) T_{22}(2u+1) + T_{22}(2u) T_{12}(2u+1) = 2 T_{12}(2u+1) T_{22}(2u).$$

Since $t_{0,1}(u) 1_\lambda = 0$ we derive that $T_{12}(u) 1_\lambda = 0$. Hence, using the action of $t_{0,0}(u)$ on 1_λ we also get

$$\mu_1(2u) \mu_2(2u+1) = \lambda_0(u). \quad (5.29)$$

This gives the condition (5.25).

Conversely, if the condition (5.25) holds for a highest weight $\lambda(u)$ then there exist series $\mu_1(u)$ and $\mu_2(u)$ satisfying (5.27), (5.28) and (5.29). Consider the Verma module $M(\mu_1(u), \mu_2(u))$ over $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$. Using the formulas of Proposition 4.4, we find that the highest vector $1_\mu \in M(\mu_1(u), \mu_2(u))$ satisfies the conditions (5.1) for the action of $X(\mathfrak{o}_3)$.

The argument of the first part of the proof shows that, regarded as a $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ -module, the module $L(\lambda(u))$ is isomorphic to $L(\mu_1(u), \mu_2(u))$ with $\mu_1(u)$ and $\mu_2(u)$ satisfying (5.27), (5.28) and (5.29). So writing the relation of Theorem 5.3 in terms of the series $\lambda_i(u)$, we get the desired condition. $\square$

The evaluation homomorphism provided by Corollary 4.7 allows one to regard any irreducible $\mathfrak{o}_3$ -module $V(\mu)$ as an $X(\mathfrak{o}_3)$ -module.

Proposition 5.9. *The evaluation module $V(\mu)$ over $X(\mathfrak{o}_3)$ is isomorphic to $L(\lambda(u))$ with*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{-1}(u) &= \frac{(2u - \mu_1)(2u - \mu_1 - 1)}{2u(2u - 1)}, \\ \lambda_0(u) &= \frac{(2u + \mu_1)(2u - \mu_1 - 1)}{2u(2u - 1)}, \\ \lambda_1(u) &= \frac{(2u + \mu_1)(2u + \mu_1 - 1)}{2u(2u - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is immediate from Corollary 4.7, as the Casimir element c acts on $V(\mu)$ as multiplication by the scalar $(\mu_1^2 - \mu_1)/2$. $\square$

Corollary 5.10. *The Verma module $M(\lambda(u))$ over $X(\mathfrak{o}_4)$ is non-trivial if and only if the highest weight $\lambda(u) = (\lambda_{-2}(u), \lambda_{-1}(u), \lambda_1(u), \lambda_2(u))$ satisfies the condition*

$$\lambda_{-2}(u) \lambda_2(u) = \lambda_{-1}(u) \lambda_1(u). \quad (5.30)$$

Moreover, if this condition holds then the $X(\mathfrak{o}_4)$ -module $L(\lambda(u))$ is finite-dimensional if and only if there exist monic polynomials $P(u)$ and $Q(u)$ in u such that

$$\frac{\lambda_{-1}(u)}{\lambda_2(u)} = \frac{P(u+1)}{P(u)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\lambda_1(u)}{\lambda_2(u)} = \frac{Q(u+1)}{Q(u)}. \quad (5.31)$$

In this case, $P(u)$ and $Q(u)$ are determined uniquely.

Proof. Suppose that the Verma module $M(\lambda(u))$ over $X(\mathfrak{o}_4)$ is non-trivial. Using the isomorphism $\chi^{(1)}$ provided by Corollary 4.10, we shall regard $M(\lambda(u))$ as a module over the algebra $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$. As was seen in the proof of Corollary 4.10,

$$\chi^{(1)} : t_{-2,-2}(u) t_{1,1}(u-1) - t_{1,-2}(u) t_{-2,1}(u-1) \mapsto T'_{11}(u) T'_{11}(u-1).$$

This implies that 1_λ is an eigenvector for $T'_{11}(u)$, that is, $T'_{11}(u) 1_\lambda = \mu'_1(u) 1_\lambda$ for a certain series $\mu'_1(u)$. Similarly, $T'_{22}(u) 1_\lambda = \mu'_2(u) 1_\lambda$ for a series $\mu'_2(u)$. Then, by the formulas of Proposition 4.8, we also have

$$\mathcal{T}_{11}(u) 1_\lambda = \mu_1(u) 1_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{T}_{22}(u) 1_\lambda = \mu_2(u) 1_\lambda$$

for some series $\mu_1(u)$ and $\mu_2(u)$. Moreover, we have the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{-2}(u) &= \mu_1(u) \mu'_1(u), & \lambda_{-1}(u) &= \mu_1(u) \mu'_2(u), \\ \lambda_1(u) &= \mu_2(u) \mu'_1(u), & \lambda_2(u) &= \mu_2(u) \mu'_2(u), \end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

which imply (5.30). Conversely, if (5.30) holds for some series $\lambda_i(u)$, then there exist series $\mu_i(u)$ and $\mu'_i(u)$ satisfying (5.32) together with the condition $\mu_1(u) \mu_2(u-1) = 1$. Consider the $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ -module $M(\mu_1(u), \mu_2(u)) \otimes M(\mu'_1(u), \mu'_2(u))$. The vector $1_\mu \otimes 1_{\mu'}$ satisfies the conditions (5.1) for the action of the series $t_{ij}(u)$ thus proving that the $X(\mathfrak{o}_4)$ -module $M(\lambda(u))$ is non-trivial.

Finally, the argument of the first part of the proof shows that, regarded as a $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ -module, the module $L(\lambda(u))$ is isomorphic to $L(\mu_1(u), \mu_2(u)) \otimes L(\mu'_1(u), \mu'_2(u))$ with the $\mu_i(u)$ and $\mu'_i(u)$ satisfying (5.32). By Theorem 5.3, the module $L(\mu_1(u), \mu_2(u)) \otimes L(\mu'_1(u), \mu'_2(u))$ is finite-dimensional if and only if there exist monic polynomials $P(u)$ and $Q(u)$ in u such that

$$\frac{\mu_1(u)}{\mu_2(u)} = \frac{P(u+1)}{P(u)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\mu'_1(u)}{\mu'_2(u)} = \frac{Q(u+1)}{Q(u)}.$$

Writing these formulas in terms of the $\lambda_i(u)$ we get the desired conditions. $\square$

The evaluation homomorphism provided by Corollary 4.12 allows one to regard any irreducible $\mathfrak{o}_4$ -module $V(\mu)$ as an $X(\mathfrak{o}_4)$ -module.

Proposition 5.11. *The evaluation module $V(\mu)$ over $X(\mathfrak{o}_4)$ is isomorphic to $L(\lambda(u))$ with*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{-2}(u) &= \frac{(2u - \mu_1 - \mu_2)(2u + \mu_1 - \mu_2)}{4u^2}, \\ \lambda_{-1}(u) &= \frac{(2u - \mu_1 - \mu_2)(2u - \mu_1 + \mu_2)}{4u^2}, \\ \lambda_1(u) &= \frac{(2u + \mu_1 - \mu_2)(2u + \mu_1 + \mu_2)}{4u^2}, \\ \lambda_2(u) &= \frac{(2u - \mu_1 + \mu_2)(2u + \mu_1 + \mu_2)}{4u^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows from Corollary 4.12, as the Casimir element c acts on $V(\mu)$ as multiplication by the scalar $(\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2)/2 - \mu_2$. $\square$

Remark 5.12. More general evaluation modules $V(\mu)_a$ with $a \in \mathbb{C}$ over $X(\mathfrak{a})$ for $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{sp}_2, \mathfrak{o}_3$ and $\mathfrak{o}_4$ can be obtained by using the respective evaluation homomorphisms $\text{ev}_a : X(\mathfrak{a}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{a})$ instead of ev ; see Remark 4.13. Then $V(\mu)_a$ will be isomorphic to the irreducible highest weight module $L(\lambda(u))$, where the components $\lambda_i(u)$ are found from the formulas of Propositions 5.7, 5.9 or 5.11 by replacing u with $u - a$.

5.3 Classification theorems

Our goal here is to prove classification theorems for the finite-dimensional irreducible representations of the extended Yangians $X(\mathfrak{a})$ for $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}, \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, and $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}$. The corresponding theorem for the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$ implies that every finite-dimensional irreducible representation of $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$ is isomorphic to a subquotient of the tensor product of the fundamental representations [12], [7, Chapter 12]. We shall use the following version of the well-known construction of the fundamental representations of $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$. They are obtained by restriction from the corresponding representation of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ which is obtained by a simple particular case of the fusion procedure; see e.g. [9], [20]. The vector space $\mathbb{C}^N$ carries an irreducible representation of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ with the action of the generators given by

$$T_{ij}(u) \mapsto \delta_{ij} + e_{ij} u^{-1}, \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\},$$

where the e_{ij} denote the standard matrix units. So,

$$T_{ij}(u) e_k = \delta_{ij} e_k + \delta_{jk} e_i u^{-1},$$

where $e_1, \dots, e_N$ denote the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^N$. Since for any $b \in \mathbb{C}$ the mapping $T_{ij}(u) \mapsto T_{ij}(u - b)$ defines an automorphism of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$, using the coproduct (4.7), we can equip the tensor product $(\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes m}$ with the action of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ by the rule

$$T_{ij}(u) (e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_m}) = \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}=1}^N T_{ia_1}(u) e_{i_1} \otimes T_{a_1 a_2}(u+1) e_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes T_{a_{m-1} j}(u+m-1) e_{i_m}. \quad (5.33)$$

For any $1 \leq m < N$ set

$$\xi_m = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot e_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\sigma(m)} \in (\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes m}.$$

Then ξ_m has the properties

$$T_{ij}(u) \xi_m = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i < j \leq N \quad (5.34)$$

and

$$T_{ii}(u) \xi_m = \begin{cases} \frac{u+m}{u+m-1} \xi_m & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq m, \\ \xi_m & \text{if } m+1 \leq i \leq N. \end{cases}$$

Thus, the vector ξ_m generates a highest weight module over $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ whose irreducible quotient is isomorphic to a fundamental module; see [7, Chapter 12], [16].

Consider the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{a}')$ for the subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}'$ of $\mathfrak{a}$ of rank $n-1$. That is,

$$\mathfrak{a}' = \mathfrak{o}_{2n-1}, \mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2}, \mathfrak{o}_{2n-2} \quad \text{respectively for } \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}, \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}, \mathfrak{o}_{2n}.$$

Note that $X(\mathfrak{a}')$ is not a natural subalgebra of $X(\mathfrak{a})$. Let V be an $X(\mathfrak{a})$ -module. Set

$$V^+ = \{ \eta \in V \mid \begin{array}{ll} t_{k,n}(u) \eta = 0 & \text{for } k < n \quad \text{and} \\ t_{-n,k}(u) \eta = 0 & \text{for } k > -n \end{array} \}.$$

Lemma 5.13. *The subspace V^+ is stable under all operators $t_{ij}(u)$ with the condition $-n+1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$. Moreover, these operators form a representation of the algebra $X(\mathfrak{a}')$ on V^+ , where each operator $t_{ij}(u)$ is the image of the generator series of $X(\mathfrak{a}')$ with the same name.*

Proof. For any $\eta \in V^+$ we have the following relations modulo elements of V^+ which are implied by (2.19): if $k < n$ and $-n+1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$ then

$$t_{kn}(v) t_{ij}(u) \eta \equiv -[t_{ij}(u), t_{kn}(v)] \eta \equiv \frac{\delta_{k,-i}}{u-v-\kappa} \theta_{i,-n} t_{-n,j}(u) t_{nn}(v) \eta.$$

However, applying again (2.19), we find that

$$t_{-n,j}(u) t_{nn}(v) \eta \equiv -\frac{1}{u-v-\kappa} t_{-n,j}(u) t_{nn}(v) \eta.$$

Therefore, $t_{-n,j}(u) t_{nn}(v) \eta \equiv 0$ implying $t_{kn}(v) t_{ij}(u) \eta \equiv 0$. A similar calculation shows that for any $k > -n$ and $-n+1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$ we also have $t_{-n,k}(v) t_{ij}(u) \eta \equiv 0$ proving the first part of the lemma.

In order to prove the second part, suppose that the indices i, j, k, l satisfy the condition $-n+1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n-1$. Then by (2.19) for any $\eta \in V^+$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} [t_{ij}(u), t_{kl}(v)] \eta &= \frac{1}{u-v} \left(t_{kj}(u) t_{il}(v) - t_{kj}(v) t_{il}(u) \right) \eta \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{u-v-\kappa} \left(\delta_{k,-i} \sum_{p=-n}^n \theta_{ip} t_{pj}(u) t_{-p,l}(v) - \delta_{l,-j} \sum_{p=-n}^n \theta_{jp} t_{k,-p}(v) t_{ip}(u) \right) \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Writing the right hand side modulo V^+ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{u-v} \left(t_{kj}(u) t_{il}(v) - t_{kj}(v) t_{il}(u) \right) \eta \\ & - \frac{1}{u-v-\kappa} \left(\delta_{k,-i} \sum_{p=-n+1}^{n-1} \theta_{ip} t_{pj}(u) t_{-p,l}(v) - \delta_{l,-j} \sum_{p=-n+1}^{n-1} \theta_{jp} t_{k,-p}(v) t_{ip}(u) \right) \eta \\ & - \frac{1}{u-v-\kappa} \left(\delta_{k,-i} \theta_{i,-n} t_{-n,j}(u) t_{n,l}(v) - \delta_{l,-j} \theta_{j,-n} t_{k,n}(v) t_{i,-n}(u) \right) \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Applying again (2.19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t_{-n,j}(u) t_{n,l}(v) \eta & \equiv -\frac{1}{u-v-\kappa} \sum_{p=-n+1}^{n-1} \theta_{-n,p} t_{pj}(u) t_{-p,l}(v) \eta \\ & - \frac{1}{u-v-\kappa} \left(t_{-n,j}(u) t_{n,l}(v) - \delta_{l,-j} \theta_{j,-n} t_{n,n}(v) t_{-n,-n}(u) \right) \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} t_{-n,j}(u) t_{n,l}(v) \eta & \equiv -\frac{1}{u-v-\kappa+1} \sum_{p=-n+1}^{n-1} \theta_{-n,p} t_{pj}(u) t_{-p,l}(v) \eta \\ & + \frac{1}{u-v-\kappa+1} \delta_{l,-j} \theta_{j,-n} t_{n,n}(v) t_{-n,-n}(u) \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $t_{k,n}(v) t_{i,-n}(u) \eta \equiv -[t_{i,-n}(u), t_{k,n}(v)] \eta$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [t_{i,-n}(u), t_{k,n}(v)] \eta & \equiv -\frac{1}{u-v-\kappa} \delta_{k,-i} \theta_{i,-n} t_{-n,-n}(u) t_{nn}(v) \eta \\ & + \frac{1}{u-v-\kappa} \left(\sum_{p=-n+1}^{n-1} \theta_{-n,p} t_{k,-p}(v) t_{ip}(u) + t_{k,n}(v) t_{i,-n}(u) \right) \eta \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} t_{k,n}(v) t_{i,-n}(u) \eta & \equiv \frac{1}{u-v-\kappa+1} \delta_{k,-i} \theta_{i,-n} t_{-n,-n}(u) t_{nn}(v) \eta \\ & - \frac{1}{u-v-\kappa+1} \sum_{p=-n+1}^{n-1} \theta_{-n,p} t_{k,-p}(v) t_{ip}(u) \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these expressions, we come to the following relation

$$\begin{aligned} [t_{ij}(u), t_{kl}(v)] \eta & \equiv \frac{1}{u-v} \left(t_{kj}(u) t_{il}(v) - t_{kj}(v) t_{il}(u) \right) \eta \\ & - \frac{1}{u-v-\kappa+1} \left(\delta_{k,-i} \sum_{p=-n+1}^{n-1} \theta_{ip} t_{pj}(u) t_{-p,l}(v) - \delta_{l,-j} \sum_{p=-n+1}^{n-1} \theta_{jp} t_{k,-p}(v) t_{ip}(u) \right) \eta \\ & + \frac{1}{(u-v-\kappa)(u-v-\kappa+1)} \delta_{k,-i} \delta_{l,-j} \theta_{ij} [t_{-n,-n}(u), t_{nn}(v)] \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by (2.19),

$$[t_{-n,-n}(u), t_{nn}(v)] \eta \equiv -\frac{1}{u-v-\kappa} [t_{-n,-n}(u), t_{nn}(v)] \eta,$$

so that $[t_{-n,-n}(u), t_{nn}(v)] \eta \equiv 0$. This yields the desired relations between the operators $t_{ij}(u)$ on V^+ since $\kappa - 1 = \kappa'$ coincides with the value of the parameter κ for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}'$. $\square$

Proposition 5.14. *The Verma module $M(\lambda(u))$ over $X(\mathfrak{a})$ is non-trivial if and only if the components of the highest weight $\lambda(u)$ satisfy the conditions*

$$\frac{\lambda_{-n+i-1}(u + \kappa - i)}{\lambda_{-n+i}(u + \kappa - i)} = \frac{\lambda_{n-i}(u)}{\lambda_{n-i+1}(u)} \quad (5.35)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ if $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ or $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, and for $i = 1, \dots, n$ if $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$.

Proof. Suppose first that $M(\lambda(u))$ is non-trivial. We use induction on n taking Corollaries 5.6, 5.8 and 5.10 as the induction base. Let us apply $t_{-n,-n+1}(u) t_{n,n-1}(v)$ to the highest vector 1_λ of $M(\lambda(u))$. By (2.19) we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_{-n,-n+1}(u) t_{n,n-1}(v) 1_\lambda = \\ -\frac{1}{u-v-\kappa} \left(t_{-n,-n+1}(u) t_{n,n-1}(v) + \lambda_{-n+1}(u) \lambda_{n-1}(v) - \lambda_{-n}(u) \lambda_n(v) \right) 1_\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(u-v-\kappa+1) t_{-n,-n+1}(u) t_{n,n-1}(v) 1_\lambda = \lambda_{-n}(u) \lambda_n(v) 1_\lambda - \lambda_{-n+1}(u) \lambda_{n-1}(v) 1_\lambda.$$

Putting $u = v + \kappa - 1$ and replacing v by u we obtain (5.35) for $i = 1$. Furthermore, by Lemma 5.13, the subspace $M(\lambda(u))^+$ of $M(\lambda(u))$ is a module over $X(\mathfrak{a}')$. The highest vector 1_λ belongs to $M(\lambda(u))^+$ and generates a highest weight $X(\mathfrak{a}')$ -module with the highest weight $(\lambda_{-n+1}(u), \dots, \lambda_{n-1}(u))$. So, the remaining conditions hold by the induction hypothesis.

Conversely, suppose that $\lambda(u)$ satisfies the conditions. Consider the left ideal I of the algebra $X(\mathfrak{a})$ generated by the coefficients of the series $t_{ij}(u)$ with $i < j$ where $i + j > 0$ or $i + j \geq 0$ for the orthogonal or symplectic case, respectively; and by the coefficients of the series $t_{ii}(u) - \lambda_i(u)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $z(u) - \lambda_{-n}(u + \kappa) \lambda_n(u)$. By Corollary 3.10, the quotient $\widetilde{M}(\lambda(u)) = X(\mathfrak{a})/I$ is non-trivial. Let 1_λ be the image of $1 \in X(\mathfrak{a})$ in the quotient. It suffices to verify that the vector 1_λ satisfies all the conditions (5.1). Now we use Corollary 3.10 again. Let us choose the total ordering on the elements $t_{ij}^{(r)}$ and z_r with the conditions on the indices as in the statement of the corollary, in such a way that any element $t_{ij}^{(r)}$ with $i > j$ precedes any element $t_{kk}^{(s)}$

while the latter precedes any element of the form $t_{ij}^{(r)}$ with $i < j$. We shall regard $X(\mathfrak{a})$ as the adjoint $\mathfrak{a}$ -module with the action defined on the generators by (5.3). For any pair $k < l$ and any $r \geq 1$ write the element $t_{kl}^{(r)}$ as a linear combination of the ordered monomials. The $\mathfrak{a}$ -weight of each of the monomials coincides with the $\mathfrak{a}$ -weight of $t_{kl}^{(r)}$. Then the relation $t_{kl}^{(r)} 1_\lambda = 0$ follows because the vector 1_λ is annihilated by any monomial occurring in the combination. The same argument shows that 1_λ is an eigenvector for the action of any element $t_{kk}^{(s)}$. Thus, the $X(\mathfrak{a})$ -module $\widetilde{M}(\lambda(u))$ is a Verma module $M(\widetilde{\lambda}(u))$. It remains to verify that its highest weight $\widetilde{\lambda}(u)$ coincides with $\lambda(u)$. This holds for the components of $\widetilde{\lambda}(u)$ with positive subscripts by the definition of $\widetilde{M}(\lambda(u))$. Furthermore, since $z(u) 1_\lambda = \lambda_{-n}(u + \kappa) \lambda_n(u) 1_\lambda$, (5.13) implies that $t_{-n,-n}(u) 1_\lambda = \lambda_{-n}(u) 1_\lambda$. So, $\widetilde{\lambda}_{-n}(u) = \lambda_{-n}(u)$. By the first part of the proof, since the Verma module $M(\widetilde{\lambda}(u))$ is non-trivial, the conditions (5.35) must hold for the components of $\widetilde{\lambda}(u)$. This shows that $\widetilde{\lambda}(u) = \lambda(u)$, and thus $M(\lambda(u))$ is non-trivial. $\square$

Corollary 5.15. *The irreducible highest weight module $L(\lambda(u))$ over $X(\mathfrak{a})$ exists if and only if the conditions (5.35) hold.*

Proof. If $L(\lambda(u))$ exists then the conditions (5.35) are derived by repeating the argument of the first part of the proof of Proposition 5.14. Conversely, if the conditions hold then the Verma module $M(\lambda(u))$ is non-trivial by Proposition 5.14. Therefore, the irreducible quotient $L(\lambda(u))$ of $M(\lambda(u))$ exists. $\square$

We are now in a position to prove the classification theorem for finite-dimensional irreducible representations of the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{a})$.

Theorem 5.16. *Every finite-dimensional irreducible $X(\mathfrak{a})$ -module is isomorphic to $L(\lambda(u))$ where $\lambda(u)$ satisfies the conditions (5.35) and there exist monic polynomials $P_1(u), \dots, P_n(u)$ in u such that*

$$\frac{\lambda_{i-1}(u)}{\lambda_i(u)} = \frac{P_i(u+1)}{P_i(u)}, \quad \text{for } i = 2, \dots, n \quad (5.36)$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda_0(u)}{\lambda_1(u)} &= \frac{P_1(u+1/2)}{P_1(u)}, & \text{if } \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}, \\ \frac{\lambda_{-1}(u)}{\lambda_1(u)} &= \frac{P_1(u+2)}{P_1(u)}, & \text{if } \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}, \\ \frac{\lambda_{-1}(u)}{\lambda_2(u)} &= \frac{P_1(u+1)}{P_1(u)}, & \text{if } \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if (5.35) and the above conditions on the highest weight $\lambda(u)$ are satisfied then $L(\lambda(u))$ exists and has finite dimension.

The polynomials $P_1(u), \dots, P_n(u)$ are called the *Drinfeld polynomials* corresponding to the finite-dimensional representation $L(\lambda(u))$.

Proof. Due to Theorem 5.1, every finite-dimensional irreducible $X(\mathfrak{a})$ -module is isomorphic to $L(\lambda(u))$ for some highest weight $\lambda(u)$. Then $\lambda(u)$ must satisfy (5.35) by Corollary 5.15 since $L(\lambda(u))$ exists. Now we argue by induction on n taking Corollaries 5.6, 5.8 and 5.10 as the induction base. Observe that if $n \geq 2$ then by (2.19), the mapping

$$T_{ij}(u) \mapsto t_{i+n-2, j+n-2}(u), \quad i, j \in \{1, 2\}$$

defines a homomorphism $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \rightarrow X(\mathfrak{a})$. So, $L(\lambda(u))$ can be regarded as a $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ -module. The highest vector $1_\lambda \in L(\lambda(u))$ then satisfies

$$T_{11}(u) 1_\lambda = \lambda_{n-1}(u) 1_\lambda, \quad T_{22}(u) 1_\lambda = \lambda_n(u) 1_\lambda, \quad T_{12}(u) 1_\lambda = 0.$$

Since the cyclic span $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2) 1_\lambda$ is finite-dimensional, we derive from Theorem 5.3 that there exists a monic polynomial $P_n(u)$ such that (5.36) holds for $i = n$. Furthermore, by Lemma 5.13, the subspace $L(\lambda(u))^+$ is a module over $X(\mathfrak{a}')$. The highest vector 1_λ belongs to $L(\lambda(u))^+$ and generates a highest weight $X(\mathfrak{a}')$ -module with the highest weight $(\lambda_{-n+1}(u), \dots, \lambda_{n-1}(u))$. Since the cyclic span $X(\mathfrak{a}') 1_\lambda$ is finite-dimensional, the remaining conditions on the $\lambda_i(u)$ hold by the induction hypothesis.

Suppose now that the highest weight $\lambda(u)$ satisfies the given conditions. Then $L(\lambda(u))$ exists by Corollary 5.15. We need to show that $\dim L(\lambda(u)) < \infty$. Observe that the n -tuple of Drinfeld polynomials corresponding to an $X(\mathfrak{a})$ -module $L(\lambda(u))$ determines the highest weight $\lambda(u)$ up to a simultaneous multiplication of all components $\lambda_i(u)$ by a series $f(u) \in 1 + u^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[u^{-1}]]$. On the other hand, the composition of the action of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ on $L(\lambda(u))$ with the automorphism (2.21) yields a representation of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ isomorphic to $L(\lambda'(u))$, where the components of $\lambda'(u)$ are given by $\lambda'_i(u) = f(u) \lambda_i(u)$. Therefore, it suffices to prove that a particular module $L(\lambda(u))$ corresponding to an arbitrary n -tuple of Drinfeld polynomials is finite-dimensional.

We shall use the coproduct (2.24) to equip the tensor product of two $X(\mathfrak{a})$ -modules with an $X(\mathfrak{a})$ -module structure.

Lemma 5.17. *Let $L(\lambda(u))$ and $L(\mu(u))$ be two irreducible highest weight modules over $X(\mathfrak{a})$ with*

$$\lambda(u) = (\lambda_{-n}(u), \dots, \lambda_n(u)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(u) = (\mu_{-n}(u), \dots, \mu_n(u)).$$

Then the tensor product $1_\lambda \otimes 1_\mu$ of the highest vectors of $L(\lambda(u))$ and $L(\mu(u))$ generates a highest weight submodule V over $X(\mathfrak{a})$ in $L(\lambda(u)) \otimes L(\mu(u))$ with the highest weight

$$(\lambda_{-n}(u) \mu_{-n}(u), \dots, \lambda_n(u) \mu_n(u)). \quad (5.37)$$

Moreover, if the modules $L(\lambda(u))$ and $L(\mu(u))$ are finite-dimensional with the corresponding n -tuples of Drinfeld polynomials $(P_1(u), \dots, P_n(u))$ and $(Q_1(u), \dots, Q_n(u))$, respectively, then the n -tuple of Drinfeld polynomials corresponding to the irreducible quotient of V is $(P_1(u) Q_1(u), \dots, P_n(u) Q_n(u))$.

Proof. It follows easily from (2.24) that the vector $\xi = 1_\lambda \otimes 1_\mu$ satisfies (5.1) with the highest weight (5.37). The second statement now follows from the relations defining the Drinfeld polynomials. $\square$

By the lemma, we only need to show that if an irreducible highest weight module $L(\lambda(u))$ corresponds to an n -tuple of Drinfeld polynomials of the form $P_j(u) = 1$ for all $j \neq i$ and $P_i(u) = u - b$ for certain $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}$, then $\dim L(\lambda(u)) < \infty$. Furthermore, the composition of the action of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ on $L(\lambda(u))$ with an automorphism of the form (2.22) yields a representation of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ whose n -tuple of Drinfeld polynomials is $P_j(u) = 1$ for all $j \neq i$ and $P_i(u) = u - a - b$. Thus, it suffices to prove the claim for all values of the index i and a certain particular value of $b \in \mathbb{C}$.

Consider the representation of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ on $\mathbb{C}^N$ defined in (3.19) with $c = 0$ so that

$$t_{ij}(u) \mapsto \delta_{ij} + e_{ij} u^{-1} - \theta_{ij} e_{-j, -i} (u + \kappa)^{-1}.$$

Equip the tensor product $(\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes m}$ with an $X(\mathfrak{a})$ -action by

$$t_{ij}(u) (e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_m}) = \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{m-1} = -n}^n t_{ia_1}(u) e_{i_1} \otimes t_{a_1 a_2}(u+1) e_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes t_{a_{m-1} j}(u+m-1) e_{i_m}, \quad (5.38)$$

where we use the coproduct (2.24) on $X(\mathfrak{a})$ and the automorphism (2.22). For any $1 \leq m \leq n$ set

$$\xi_m = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot e_{-n-1+\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{-n-1+\sigma(m)} \in (\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes m}.$$

We claim that ξ_m satisfies

$$t_{ij}(u) \xi_m = 0 \quad \text{for all } -n \leq i < j \leq n \quad (5.39)$$

and

$$t_{ii}(u) \xi_m = \begin{cases} \frac{u+m}{u+m-1} \xi_m & \text{if } -n \leq i \leq -n+m-1, \\ \xi_m & \text{if } -n+m \leq i \leq n-m, \\ \frac{u+\kappa-1}{u+\kappa} \xi_m & \text{if } n-m+1 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases} \quad (5.40)$$

Denote by $P^{(m)}$ the operator in $(\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes m}$ which acts on the basis vectors by

$$P^{(m)}(e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_m}) = e_{i_m} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_1}.$$

We have $P^{(m)}(\xi_m) = \alpha \xi_m$, where $\alpha = 1$ or -1 . The definition (5.38) implies the following relation for the action of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ on $(\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes m}$,

$$\theta_{ij} t_{-j,-i}(u) = P^{(m)} t_{ij}(-u - \kappa - m + 1) P^{(m)}. \quad (5.41)$$

Due to (5.3), in order to verify (5.39) in the case $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$, it therefore suffices to consider the values $j = i + 1$ with $-n \leq i \leq -1$. Since the expression for the vector ξ_m only involves the tensor products $e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_m}$ with negative subscripts i_k , we may assume that the summation indices $a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}$ in (5.38) are all negative. Indeed, $t_{ia_1}(u) e_{i_1} = 0$ unless $a_1 < 0$ implying $t_{a_1 a_2}(u + 1) e_{i_2} = 0$ unless $a_2 < 0$ etc. However, in this case the formula (5.38) takes the same form as its $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ -counterpart (5.33) if we take into account the convention on the basis vector indices. Therefore, the relations $t_{i,i+1}(u) \xi_m = 0$ and, hence (5.39), are implied by the corresponding property (5.34) of the vector ξ_m in the case of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$. Moreover, this argument also proves (5.40) for the non-positive values of i . The application of (5.41) completes the proof of (5.40).

The same argument applies to the cases $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ and $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ which also shows that $t_{-1,1}(u) \xi_m = 0$ together with $t_{-1,2}(u) \xi_m = 0$ for $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$.

Thus, in the case $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ for any $m \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ the vector ξ_m generates a highest weight submodule of $(\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes m}$ whose n -tuple of Drinfeld polynomials is $P_j(u) = 1$ for all $j \neq m$ and $P_m(u) = u + \kappa - 1$, while ξ_n generates a highest weight submodule of $(\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes n}$ whose n -tuple of Drinfeld polynomials is $P_1(u) = u + n - 1$ and $P_j(u) = 1$ for $j \neq 1$. This completes the proof of the theorem in the symplectic case, as the irreducible highest weight modules over $X(\mathfrak{a})$ with such n -tuples of Drinfeld polynomials are finite-dimensional.

Similarly, the proof is also complete in the case $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ and the values $m \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}$, as well as in the case $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ for the values $m \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. In order to complete the proof in the remaining cases, we shall use the spinor representations of the orthogonal Lie algebras. The spinor representation $V(-1/2, \dots, -1/2)$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ can be realized in the 2^n -dimensional space Λ_n of polynomials in n anti-commuting variables $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$,

$$\Lambda_n = \text{span of } \{\xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_k} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n\}.$$

The generators of $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ act on this space as the operators

$$\begin{aligned} F_{ij} &= \xi_i \partial_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}, & F_{-j,i} &= \partial_i \partial_j, & F_{j,-i} &= \xi_i \xi_j, \\ F_{0,i} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_i, & F_{i,0} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \xi_i, \end{aligned} \quad (5.42)$$

where $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and ∂_i is the left derivative over ξ_i . The restriction of Λ_n to the subalgebra $\mathfrak{o}_{2n} \subset \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ (spanned by the elements F_{ij} with $i, j \neq 0$) splits into the direct sum of two irreducible submodules, $\Lambda_n = \Lambda_n^+ \oplus \Lambda_n^-$, where Λ_n^+ (respectively, Λ_n^-) is the subspace of Λ_n spanned by the even (respectively, odd) monomials in the generators ξ_i . We have the isomorphisms

$$\Lambda_n^+ \cong V(-1/2, \dots, -1/2) \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_n^- \cong V(1/2, -1/2, \dots, -1/2). \quad (5.43)$$

The highest weight vectors of the $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ -modules Λ_n^+ and Λ_n^- are, respectively, the vectors 1 and ξ_1 .

Lemma 5.18. *Each spinor representation of $\mathfrak{o}_N$ can be extended to a representation of the algebra $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$ by the rule*

$$t_{ij}(u) \mapsto \delta_{ij} + F_{ij} u^{-1}, \quad i, j \in \{-n, \dots, n\}.$$

Proof. The claim follows by a direct verification that the images of $t_{ij}(u)$ satisfy the defining relations (2.19) with the use of the following identity of operators in each spinor representation:

$$(F^2)_{ij} = \left(\frac{\kappa}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\right) \delta_{ij} + \kappa F_{ij}, \quad (5.44)$$

where F is defined in (2.6). Indeed, in the particular case $i = j = n$, the identity is verified by a straightforward calculation. The general case then follows by commuting both sides of this particular identity with appropriate generators F_{ij} . $\square$

The lemma implies that the spinor representation $V(-1/2, \dots, -1/2)$ of $\mathfrak{o}_N$ becomes an irreducible highest weight representation of $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$ with the highest weight $\lambda(u)$, where

$$\lambda_i(u) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}u^{-1} \quad \text{for } i \leq -1, \quad \lambda_i(u) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}u^{-1} \quad \text{for } i \geq 1$$

and $\lambda_0(u) = 1$ (the latter only occurs for $N = 2n + 1$). The corresponding n -tuple of Drinfeld polynomials is $(u - 1/2, 1, \dots, 1)$ in both cases $N = 2n$ and $N = 2n + 1$. Finally, the spinor representation $V(1/2, -1/2, \dots, -1/2)$ of $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ becomes an irreducible highest weight representation of $X(\mathfrak{o}_{2n})$ with the highest weight $\lambda(u)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i(u) &= 1 + \frac{1}{2}u^{-1} \quad \text{for } i \leq -2 \quad \text{and} \quad i = 1, \\ \lambda_i(u) &= 1 - \frac{1}{2}u^{-1} \quad \text{for } i \geq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad i = -1. \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding n -tuple of Drinfeld polynomials is $(1, u - 1/2, 1, \dots, 1)$. $\square$

Theorem 5.16 allows us to get another proof of Drinfeld's classifications theorem for the Yangian modules [12]; cf. [7, Chapter 12].

Corollary 5.19. *Any finite-dimensional irreducible representation of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ is isomorphic to the restriction of an $X(\mathfrak{a})$ -module $L(\lambda(u))$ to the subalgebra $Y(\mathfrak{a})$, where the components of $\lambda(u)$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 5.16. In particular, such representations of $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ are parameterized by the tuples $(P_1(u), \dots, P_n(u))$ of monic polynomials in u .*

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, any finite-dimensional irreducible representation V of $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ can be extended to a representation of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ where the elements of the center $ZX(\mathfrak{a})$ act as scalar operators. By Theorem 5.16, the $X(\mathfrak{a})$ -module V is isomorphic to $L(\lambda(u))$ for an appropriate highest weight $\lambda(u)$. This allows one to attach a tuple of polynomials $(P_1(u), \dots, P_n(u))$ to the $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ -module V .

Conversely, given any n -tuple of polynomials $(P_1(u), \dots, P_n(u))$, there exists a highest weight $\lambda(u)$ such that the conditions of Theorem 3.1 hold. Moreover, the components of $\lambda(u)$ are uniquely determined up to simultaneous multiplication by a formal series in u^{-1} . This implies that the corresponding $X(\mathfrak{a})$ -module $L(\lambda(u))$ is determined up to twisting by an appropriate automorphism (2.21). However, the subalgebra $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ consists of the elements stable under all such automorphisms. This yields the desired parametrization of the representations of $Y(\mathfrak{a})$. $\square$

The finite-dimensional irreducible representations $L(\lambda(u))$ corresponding to the n -tuples of Drinfeld polynomials of the form $(1, \dots, u - a, 1, \dots, 1)$, where $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $u - a$ is on the i -th position, are called the *fundamental representations* of $X(\mathfrak{a})$ or $Y(\mathfrak{a})$. The following corollary was established in the proof of Theorem 5.16.

Corollary 5.20. *Every finite-dimensional irreducible representation of $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ is isomorphic to a subquotient of a tensor product of the fundamental representations.* $\square$

5.4 Fundamental representations

In this section we give a more explicit description of the fundamental representations of the algebras $X(\mathfrak{a})$ and $Y(\mathfrak{a})$. We shall follow the general approach of the paper by Chari and Pressley [6]. However, contrary to [6], we avoid using the theorem describing the singularities of R -matrices.

We start with the orthogonal case $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_N$. The fundamental representations with the n -tuples of Drinfeld polynomials $(u - 1/2, 1, \dots, 1)$ and $(1, u - 1/2, 1, \dots, 1)$ (the latter for $N = 2n$ only), were constructed in the proof of Theorem 5.16.

Now let $N = 2n + 1$. The tensor square of the spinor representation Λ_n of $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ has the following decomposition into irreducibles:

$$\Lambda_n \otimes \Lambda_n \cong \bigoplus_{p=0}^n V(\mu^{(p)}), \quad (5.45)$$

where $\mu^{(p)} = (0, \dots, 0, -1, \dots, -1)$ with p zeros. Note that $V(\mu^{(p)})$ is a fundamental representation of $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ for any $1 \leq p \leq n - 1$. It corresponds to the fundamental weight ω_{n-p} in a more standard notation. The highest weight vector v_p of $V(\mu^{(p)})$ is given in an explicit form by

$$v_p = \sum (-1)^{j_1 + \dots + j_l} \xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_k} \otimes \xi_{j_1} \dots \xi_{j_l}, \quad (5.46)$$

summed over all partitions of the set $\{1, \dots, p\}$ into the disjoint union of two subsets $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ and $\{j_1, \dots, j_l\}$ so that $p = k + l$ with $k, l \geq 0$ while $i_1 < \dots < i_k$ and $j_1 < \dots < j_l$.

By Lemma 5.18, we may regard Λ_n as an $X(\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1})$ -module. Furthermore, using the coproduct (2.24) and the automorphism (2.22), we can equip $\Lambda_n \otimes \Lambda_n$ with an $X(\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1})$ -action by

$$t_{ij}(u)(\eta \otimes \zeta) = \sum_{k=-n}^n \left(\delta_{ik} + F_{ik}(u - a)^{-1} \right) \eta \otimes \left(\delta_{kj} + F_{kj} u^{-1} \right) \zeta, \quad (5.47)$$

where $\eta, \zeta \in \Lambda_n$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$ is a fixed constant.

Proposition 5.21. *If $a = p - 1/2$ then the vector $v_p \in \Lambda_n \otimes \Lambda_n$ has the properties*

$$t_{ij}(u) v_p = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad -n \leq i < j \leq n \quad (5.48)$$

and

$$t_{ii}(u) v_p = \begin{cases} \frac{(u - p)(u + 1/2)}{u(u - p + 1/2)} v_p & \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq p, \\ \frac{(u - p)(u - 1/2)}{u(u - p + 1/2)} v_p & \text{for } p + 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases} \quad (5.49)$$

Proof. By the definition (5.47), we have

$$t_{ij}^{(1)}(\eta \otimes \zeta) = F_{ij} \eta \otimes \zeta + \eta \otimes F_{ij} \zeta$$

and

$$t_{ij}^{(r)}(\eta \otimes \zeta) = a^{r-2} \sum_{k=-n}^n F_{ik} \eta \otimes F_{kj} \zeta + a^{r-1} F_{ij} \eta \otimes \zeta \quad (5.50)$$

for $r \geq 2$. In particular,

$$t_{ij}^{(r+1)}(\eta \otimes \zeta) = a t_{ij}^{(r)}(\eta \otimes \zeta) \quad (5.51)$$

for any $r \geq 2$. Since v_p is the highest weight vector in the $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ -module $V(\mu^{(p)})$, we have the relations $t_{ij}^{(1)} v_p = 0$ for $-n \leq i < j \leq n$ and

$$t_{ii}^{(1)} v_p = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq p, \\ -v_p & \text{for } p+1 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Now, (5.3) implies that

$$[F_{i-1,i}, t_{i,i}^{(2)}] = t_{i-1,i}^{(2)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Furthermore, taking the $(i-1, i)$ entry in (2.26) and comparing the coefficients at u^{-2} we get

$$t_{i-1,i}^{(2)} - \sum_{k=-n}^n t_{i-1,k}^{(1)} t_{k,i}^{(1)} + t_{-i,-i+1}^{(2)} - \kappa t_{-i,-i+1}^{(1)} = 0.$$

Hence, (5.48) will follow if we prove that v_p is an eigenvector for all the operators $t_{ii}^{(2)}$ with $i = 1, \dots, n$. By (5.50), we have the following equality of operators in $\Lambda_n \otimes \Lambda_n$,

$$t_{ii}^{(2)} = \sum_{k=-n}^n (F_{ik} \otimes 1)(F_{ki} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes F_{ki}) - (F^2)_{ii} \otimes 1 + a F_{ii} \otimes 1.$$

Note that each element $F_{ki} \in \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ acts on $\Lambda_n \otimes \Lambda_n$ as the operator

$$\Delta(F_{ki}) = F_{ki} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes F_{ki}.$$

Due to (5.44), in the spinor representation Λ_n we have $(F^2)_{ii} = n/2 + (n-1/2) F_{ii}$. Moreover, we have $\Delta(F_{ki}) v_p = 0$ for $k < i$ and for $1 \leq i < k \leq p$. The latter follows from the fact that each vector $\Delta(F_{k,k-1}) v_p$ with $k \in \{2, \dots, p\}$ is annihilated by all operators $\Delta(F_{j,j+1})$ and hence must be zero, as the $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ -module $V(\mu^{(p)})$ is irreducible. Recalling that $a = p - 1/2$ we thus get for any $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$,

$$t_{ii}^{(2)} v_p = \sum_{k=p+1}^n (F_{ik} \otimes 1) \Delta(F_{ki}) v_p + (p-n) (F_{ii} \otimes 1) v_p - n/2 v_p.$$

Using the expression (5.46) for v_p and the formulas (5.42) it is now easy to derive the relation $t_{ii}^{(2)} v_p = -p/2 \cdot v_p$. If $i \in \{p+1, \dots, n\}$ then

$$t_{ii}^{(2)} v_p = \sum_{k=i}^n (F_{ik} \otimes 1) \Delta(F_{ki}) v_p + (p-n) (F_{ii} \otimes 1) v_p - n/2 v_p.$$

Using again (5.46) and (5.42), we find that $\Delta(F_{ki})v_p = 0$ for $k > i$ which gives $t_{ii}^{(2)}v_p = (-p/2 + 1/2)v_p$. Thus, (5.48) is proved. For any $i > 0$ the relation (5.49) is now implied by (5.51) with $j = i$. Finally, we have $t_{00}^{(2)}v_p = -p/2 \cdot v_p$ which is verified by a similar calculation. This implies (5.49) for $i = 0$. $\square$

Due to Proposition 5.21, the cyclic span $W_p = X(\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1})v_p$ of the highest vector $v_p \in \Lambda_n \otimes \Lambda_n$ is a highest weight module over $X(\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1})$. By the following theorem, W_p is irreducible. This module is finite-dimensional, and if $1 \leq p \leq n-1$ then the corresponding n -tuple of Drinfeld polynomials is $(1, \dots, u-1/2, 1, \dots, 1)$ with $u-1/2$ on the $(p+1)$ -th position; see Theorem 5.16. So, this yields a construction of the fundamental representations of $X(\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1})$ alternative to the one used in the proof of Theorem 5.16. The following is a version of a result of Chari and Pressley [6, Theorem 6.2] and earlier results of Ogievetsky, Reshetikhin and Wiegmann [22]. We assume that $1 \leq p \leq n-1$ and $a = p-1/2$.

Theorem 5.22. *The $X(\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1})$ -module W_p is irreducible. Its restriction to the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1})$ is given by*

$$W_p|_{U(\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1})} \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{[(n-p)/2]} V(\mu^{(p+2i)}).$$

Proof. By Corollary 3.10 and Proposition 5.21 the vector space W_p is spanned by the elements

$$t_{j_1 i_1}^{(r_1)} \dots t_{j_m i_m}^{(r_m)} v_p, \quad m \geq 0,$$

with $j_a > i_a$ and $r_a \geq 1$. By (5.3), the $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ -weights of W_p have the form $\mu^{(p)} - \omega$, where ω is a $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -linear combination of the positive roots; see their description in the beginning of Section 5.1. However, any $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -linear combination of the positive roots has the form $k_1 \varepsilon_1 + \dots + k_n \varepsilon_n$, where the k_i are integers and the sum $k_1 + \dots + k_n$ is a non-positive integer. Since $\mu^{(p)} - \mu^{(l)} = \varepsilon_{l+1} + \dots + \varepsilon_p$ for $l < p$, we conclude that, as an $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ -module,

$$W_p \subseteq \bigoplus_{s=p}^n V(\mu^{(s)}). \quad (5.52)$$

We shall now demonstrate that none of the irreducible $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ -modules of the form $V(\mu^{(s)})$ with $s = p+1, p+3, \dots$ can occur in the irreducible decomposition of W_p . We need the following lemma which holds for any value of the parameter a .

Lemma 5.23. *For any $s \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ in the $X(\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1})$ -module $\Lambda_n \otimes \Lambda_n$ we have*

$$t_{-s+1, s}^{(2)} v_s = (a - s + 1/2) v_{s-2}.$$

Proof. By (5.50), we have

$$t_{-s+1,s}^{(2)} = \sum_{k=-n}^n (F_{-s+1,k} \otimes 1) \Delta(F_{ks}) - (F^2)_{-s+1,s} \otimes 1 + a F_{-s+1,s} \otimes 1.$$

Furthermore, (5.44) implies $(F^2)_{-s+1,s} = (n - 1/2) F_{-s+1,s}$. Moreover, in the $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ -submodule $V(\mu^{(s)})$ of $\Lambda_n \otimes \Lambda_n$ we have $\Delta(F_{ks}) v_s = 0$ for $k \leq s$. Hence, applying (5.42) we obtain

$$t_{-s+1,s}^{(2)} v_s = \sum_{k=s+1}^n (\partial_k \partial_s \otimes 1)(\xi_k \partial_s \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \xi_k \partial_s) v_s + (a - n + 1/2) (\partial_s \partial_{s-1} \otimes 1) v_s.$$

Finally, using the formula (5.46) for v_s we come to

$$t_{-s+1,s}^{(2)} v_s = (a - s + 1/2) (\partial_s \partial_{s-1} \otimes 1) v_s = (a - s + 1/2) v_{s-2}. \quad \square$$

Now, if the irreducible module $V(\mu^{(s)})$ with $s = p + 2i - 1$ for some $i \geq 1$ occurs in the irreducible decomposition of W_p then W_p would also contain $V(\mu^{(p-1)})$ by Lemma 5.23. But this contradicts (5.52). Thus, as an $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ -module,

$$W_p \subseteq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{[(n-p)/2]} V(\mu^{(p+2i)}). \quad (5.53)$$

We now need the following counterpart of Lemma 5.23.

Lemma 5.24. *Let $s \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. If $a \neq -s + 1/2$ then the projection of the vector $t_{s,-s+1}^{(2)} v_{s-2} \in \Lambda_n \otimes \Lambda_n$ onto the component $V(\mu^{(s)})$ in the decomposition (5.45) is nonzero.*

Proof. Let us introduce a bilinear form on the vector space Λ_n by

$$\langle \xi_{i_1} \cdots \xi_{i_k}, \xi_{j_1} \cdots \xi_{j_l} \rangle = \delta_{IJ},$$

where $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ and $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}$ are subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $i_1 < \dots < i_k$ and $j_1 < \dots < j_l$, with $\delta_{IJ} = 1$ if $I = J$, and 0 otherwise. The form possesses the covariance property with respect to the action of $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$,

$$\langle F_{ij} \eta, \zeta \rangle = \langle \eta, F_{ji} \zeta \rangle, \quad \eta, \zeta \in \Lambda_n.$$

Extend the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to a bilinear form on the tensor product space $\Lambda_n \otimes \Lambda_n$ by

$$\langle \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2, \zeta_1 \otimes \zeta_2 \rangle = \langle \eta_1, \zeta_1 \rangle \langle \eta_2, \zeta_2 \rangle.$$

One easily verifies that this form inherits the covariance property. In particular, the irreducible components $V(\mu^{(s)})$ in the decomposition (5.45) are pairwise orthogonal. So the lemma will follow if we prove that $\langle t_{s,-s+1}^{(2)} v_{s-2}, v_s \rangle \neq 0$. However, a direct calculation with the use of (5.50) shows that for any $\eta, \zeta \in \Lambda_n \otimes \Lambda_n$ we have

$$\langle t_{ij}^{(2)} \eta, \zeta \rangle = \langle \eta, (t_{ji}^{(2)} + a(1 \otimes F_{ji} - F_{ji} \otimes 1)) \zeta \rangle.$$

Hence, using Lemma 5.23 and the formulas (5.42) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle t_{s,-s+1}^{(2)} v_{s-2}, v_s \rangle &= \langle v_{s-2}, (t_{-s+1,s}^{(2)} + a(1 \otimes F_{-s+1,s} - F_{-s+1,s} \otimes 1)) v_s \rangle \\ &= (-a - s + 1/2) \langle v_{s-2}, v_{s-2} \rangle \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof of the lemma. $\square$

If $a = p - 1/2$ then the condition of Lemma 5.24 is satisfied for any $s \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. Thus, Lemmas 5.23 and 5.24 imply that the $X(\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1})$ -module W_p is irreducible and its $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ -irreducible decomposition coincides with the right hand side of (5.53). $\square$

Consider now the case $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$. As we mentioned in the previous section, the restriction of the $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ -module Λ_n to the subalgebra $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ splits into the direct sum of two irreducible submodules, $\Lambda_n = \Lambda_n^+ \oplus \Lambda_n^-$, and we have the isomorphisms (5.43). We have the following tensor product decompositions of the $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ -modules:

$$\Lambda_n^+ \otimes \Lambda_n^+ \cong \bigoplus_{r=0}^{[n/2]} V(\mu^{(2r)}), \quad (5.54)$$

$$\Lambda_n^+ \otimes \Lambda_n^- \cong \bigoplus_{r=0}^{[(n-1)/2]} V(\mu^{(2r+1)}), \quad (5.55)$$

where $\mu^{(p)} = (0, \dots, 0, -1, \dots, -1)$ with p zeros. Note that $V(\mu^{(p)})$ is a fundamental representation of $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ for any $2 \leq p \leq n-1$. The highest weight vector v_p of $V(\mu^{(p)})$ in the decompositions (5.54) and (5.55) is given by (5.46) with the following additional restrictions: both k and l are even for (5.54) with $p = 2r$, while k is even and l is odd for (5.55) with $p = 2r + 1$.

By Lemma 5.18, we may regard Λ_n^+ and Λ_n^- as $X(\mathfrak{o}_{2n})$ -modules. As in the previous case, we equip the tensor products $\Lambda_n^+ \otimes \Lambda_n^+$ and $\Lambda_n^+ \otimes \Lambda_n^-$ with an $X(\mathfrak{o}_{2n})$ -action by

$$t_{ij}(u)(\eta \otimes \zeta) = \sum_{k=-n}^n \left(\delta_{ik} + F_{ik}(u - a)^{-1} \right) \eta \otimes \left(\delta_{kj} + F_{kj} u^{-1} \right) \zeta, \quad (5.56)$$

where $a \in \mathbb{C}$ is a fixed constant. In the following proposition we consider the cases of even and odd p simultaneously. If $p = 2r$ then $v_p \in \Lambda_n^+ \otimes \Lambda_n^+$ and if $p = 2r + 1$ then $v_p \in \Lambda_n^+ \otimes \Lambda_n^-$.

Proposition 5.25. *If $a = p - 1$ then the vector v_p has the properties*

$$t_{ij}(u) v_p = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad -n \leq i < j \leq n \quad (5.57)$$

and

$$t_{ii}(u) v_p = \begin{cases} \frac{(u - p + 1/2)(u + 1/2)}{u(u - p + 1)} v_p & \text{for } -1 \leq i \leq p, \\ \frac{(u - p + 1/2)(u - 1/2)}{u(u - p + 1)} v_p & \text{for } p + 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases} \quad (5.58)$$

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as for Proposition 5.21 with the use of the relation (5.44). The calculation of the eigenvalues of the operators $t_{ii}^{(2)}$ on v_p gives

$$t_{ii}^{(2)} v_p = \begin{cases} (1/4 - p/2) v_p & \text{for } -1 \leq i \leq p, \\ (3/4 - p/2) v_p & \text{for } p + 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

These imply the desired properties. $\square$

The cyclic span $W_p = X(\mathfrak{o}_{2n}) v_p$ of the vector v_p is a highest weight module over $X(\mathfrak{o}_{2n})$. By the following theorem, W_p is irreducible. This module is finite-dimensional, and if $2 \leq p \leq n - 1$ then the corresponding n -tuple of Drinfeld polynomials is $(1, \dots, u - 1/2, 1, \dots, 1)$ with $u - 1/2$ on the $(p + 1)$ -th position; see Theorem 5.16. So, W_p is a fundamental module over $X(\mathfrak{o}_{2n})$. The following is the $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ -counterpart of Theorem 5.22. We assume that $2 \leq p \leq n - 1$ and $a = p - 1$.

Theorem 5.26. *The $X(\mathfrak{o}_{2n})$ -module W_p is irreducible. Its restriction to the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{o}_{2n})$ is given by*

$$W_p|_{U(\mathfrak{o}_{2n})} \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{[(n-p)/2]} V(\mu^{(p+2i)}).$$

Proof. Considering the $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ -weights of W_p and using Corollary 3.10, we conclude that, as an $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ -module,

$$W_p \subseteq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{[(n-p)/2]} V(\mu^{(p+2i)}). \quad (5.59)$$

The equality in (5.59) and irreducibility of the $X(\mathfrak{o}_{2n})$ -module W_p is implied by the following two lemmas which are verified in the same way as their $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ -counterparts.

Lemma 5.27. *For any $s \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ in the $X(\mathfrak{o}_{2n})$ -module $\Lambda_n^+ \otimes \Lambda_n^+$ or $\Lambda_n^+ \otimes \Lambda_n^-$ for even or odd s , respectively, we have*

$$t_{-s+1,s}^{(2)} v_s = (a - s + 1) v_{s-2}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 5.28. *Let $s \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. If $a \neq -s + 1$ then the projection of the vector $t_{s, -s+1}^{(2)} v_{s-2}$ onto the component $V(\mu^{(s)})$ in the decomposition (5.54) or (5.55), respectively, is nonzero. $\square$*

In particular, if $a = p - 1$ then the condition of Lemma 5.28 is satisfied for any $s \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

We conclude by showing that each fundamental representation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ can be extended to the algebra $X(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ providing a fundamental representation of the latter. Due to Theorem 3.1, it suffices to prove the corresponding statement for the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$. We follow the argument of [6] adopting it to the presentation of $Y(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ provided by Corollary 3.2. For any indices $k, l \in \{-n, \dots, n\}$ introduce the elements $J_{kl} \in Y(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ by

$$J_{kl} = \tau_{kl}^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=-n}^n \tau_{ki}^{(1)} \tau_{il}^{(1)}.$$

We shall identify the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ with a subalgebra of $Y(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ via the embedding (3.21). Denote by $\mathcal{J}$ the subspace of $Y(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ spanned by all elements J_{kl} .

Lemma 5.29. *The subspace $\mathcal{J}$ is stable under the adjoint action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$. Moreover, the $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ -module $\mathcal{J}$ is isomorphic to the adjoint representation.*

Proof. We easily derive from (5.3) that

$$[F_{ij}, J_{kl}] = \delta_{kj} J_{il} - \delta_{il} J_{kj} - \delta_{k, -i} \theta_{ij} J_{-j, l} + \delta_{l, -j} \theta_{ij} J_{k, -i}.$$

This proves the first claim. For the proof of the second, take the coefficient at u^{-2} in the relation (3.6). This gives

$$\tau_{kl}^{(2)} + \theta_{kl} \tau_{-l, -k}^{(2)} + \kappa \tau_{kl}^{(1)} - \sum_{i=-n}^n \tau_{ki}^{(1)} \tau_{il}^{(1)} = 0, \quad (5.60)$$

where we have used the relation $\tau_{kl}^{(1)} + \theta_{kl} \tau_{-l, -k}^{(1)} = 0$. Replacing k and l respectively by $-l$ and $-k$ in (5.60), then multiplying it by θ_{kl} and adding the result to (5.60) yields $J_{kl} + \theta_{kl} J_{-l, -k} = 0$. The argument is completed by observing that $\dim \mathcal{J} = \dim \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ by Corollary 3.7. $\square$

The following lemma is straightforward from the defining relations of $Y(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ given in Corollary 3.2.

Lemma 5.30. *The algebra $Y(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ is generated by the elements F_{kl} and J_{kl} with $k, l \in \{-n, \dots, n\}$. $\square$*

The fundamental representations of $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ are the modules $V(\mu^{(p)})$ where the highest weights have the form $\mu^{(p)} = (0, \dots, 0, -1, \dots, -1)$ with p zeros, for the values $p = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. In a more common notation, $V(\mu^{(p)})$ corresponds to the fundamental weight ω_{n-p} . Denote by $W_p(a)$ the fundamental representation of $Y(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ corresponding to the n -tuple of Drinfeld polynomials $(1, \dots, u-a, 1, \dots, 1)$ with $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $u-a$ on the $(p+1)$ -th position. By Theorem 5.16, the $Y(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -module $W_p(a)$ is isomorphic to the restriction of the $X(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -module $L(\lambda(u))$ to the subalgebra $Y(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$, where the components of $\lambda(u)$ are given by

$$\lambda_i(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{u-a-p}{u-a-p-1} & \text{if } -n \leq i \leq -p-1, \\ 1 & \text{if } -p \leq i \leq p, \\ \frac{u-a}{u-a+1} & \text{if } p+1 \leq i \leq n \end{cases}$$

for $p = 1, \dots, n-1$, and

$$\lambda_i(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{u-a+1}{u-a} & \text{if } -n \leq i \leq -1, \\ \frac{u-a+1}{u-a+2} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n \end{cases}$$

for $p = 0$. So, $W_p(a)$ may also be regarded as an $X(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -module. Recall that the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ is embedded into $X(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ via (3.22).

The following is essentially a reformulation of a particular case of [6, Theorem 6.1].

Theorem 5.31. *The restriction of $W_p(a)$ to $U(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ is isomorphic to the fundamental module $V(\mu^{(p)})$. Moreover, the action of $Y(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ on $V(\mu^{(p)})$ is determined by the assignment $J_{kl} \mapsto b F_{kl}$ with $b = -(n-p+1)/2 + a$.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.1, the $X(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -module $W_p(a)$ contains a unique, up to a constant factor, highest vector ξ . By the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem for $X(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ and the relations (5.3), ξ is a unique weight vector of the weight $\mu^{(p)}$ in the $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ -module $W_p(a)$. Furthermore, the irreducible decomposition of this module takes the form

$$W_p(a) = V(\mu^{(p)}) \oplus \bigoplus_{\nu} c(\nu) V(\nu), \quad (5.61)$$

summed over the weights ν strictly preceding $\mu^{(p)}$ with respect to the standard partial ordering on the set of $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ -weights, where the $c(\nu)$ are some multiplicities. Consider the $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ -module homomorphism

$$\psi : \mathcal{J} \otimes V(\mu^{(p)}) \rightarrow W_p(a) \quad (5.62)$$

defined by

$$\psi : J_{kl} \otimes v \mapsto J_{kl} v, \quad v \in V(\mu^{(p)}).$$

By Lemma 5.29, the $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ -module $\mathcal{J}$ is isomorphic to $V(\rho)$ with $\rho = (0, \dots, 0, -2)$. It is well known that the irreducible decomposition of $V(\rho) \otimes V(\mu^{(p)})$ contains $V(\mu^{(p)})$ with multiplicity one, and does not contain any modules $V(\nu)$ with ν strictly preceding $\mu^{(p)}$; see e.g. [13]. Therefore, the homomorphism ψ must be multiplication by a scalar on the component $V(\mu^{(p)})$ and zero on the other irreducible constituents of $V(\rho) \otimes V(\mu^{(p)})$. Then by Lemma 5.30, the subspace $V(\mu^{(p)})$ of $W_p(a)$ is stable under the action of $Y(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ and thus $W_p(a) = V(\mu^{(p)})$ since W_p is an irreducible $Y(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -module. This proves the first part of the theorem and shows that the action of the elements J_{kl} on $V(\mu^{(p)})$ is given by $J_{kl} \mapsto b F_{kl}$ for some $b \in \mathbb{C}$. By Lemma 5.30, this determines the action of $Y(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ on $V(\mu^{(p)})$. Finally, the exact value of b is found by calculating the eigenvalue of the operator J_{nn} on the highest vector ξ of $L(\lambda(u)) \cong W_p(a)$. This eigenvalue remains unchanged if we multiply all components of $\lambda(u)$ by the formal series $f(u) \in 1 + \mathbb{C}[[u^{-1}]] u^{-1}$ defined from the relation

$$f(u) f(u + \kappa) \lambda_{-n}(u + \kappa) \lambda_n(u) = 1.$$

In the case $1 \leq p \leq n - 1$ we obtain

$$f(u) = 1 + (n - p) u^{-2} + \dots$$

By Proposition 5.2, we have $z(u) = 1$ in the $X(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -module $L(f(u)\lambda(u))$ so that the eigenvalue of $\tau_{nn}(u)$ on the highest vector of $L(f(u)\lambda(u))$ is $f(u) \lambda_n(u)$. This allows one to find the eigenvalue of $\tau_{nn}^{(2)}$ which turns out to be $(n - p)/2 - a + 1$. Since the eigenvalue of $\tau_{nn}^{(1)} = F_{nn}$ on the highest vector is -1 , the eigenvalue of J_{nn} is $(n - p + 1)/2 - a$ proving the claim for the case under consideration. In the case $p = 0$ the value of b is found by the same calculation. $\square$

References

- [1] D. Arnaudon, J. Avan, N. Crampé, L. Frappat, E. Ragoucy, *R-matrix presentation for super-Yangians $Y(\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n))$* , J. Math. Phys. **44** (2003), 302–308.

- [2] D. Arnaudon, J. Avan, N. Crampé, A. Doikou, L. Frappat, E. Ragoucy, *General boundary conditions for the $sl(N)$ and $sl(M|N)$ open spin chains*, J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp. 2004, no. 8, P08005.
- [3] D. Arnaudon, N. Crampé, A. Doikou, L. Frappat, E. Ragoucy, *Analytical Bethe ansatz for closed and open $gl(N)$ -spin chains in any representation*, J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp. 2005, no. 2, P02007.
- [4] D. Arnaudon, N. Crampé, A. Doikou, L. Frappat, E. Ragoucy, *Analytical Bethe ansatz for open spin chains with soliton non preserving boundary conditions*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A, to appear; preprint [math-ph/0503014](#).
- [5] J. Brundan and A. Kleshchev, *Parabolic presentations of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$* , Commun. Math. Phys. **254** (2005), 191–220.
- [6] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *Fundamental representations of Yangians and rational R -matrices*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **417** (1991), 87–128.
- [7] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [8] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *Yangians, integrable quantum systems and Dorey’s rule*, Commun. Math. Phys. **181** (1996), 265–302.
- [9] I. V. Cherednik, *A new interpretation of Gelfand–Tsetlin bases*, Duke Math. J. **54** (1987), 563–577.
- [10] V. G. Drinfeld, *Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang–Baxter equation*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **32** (1985), 254–258.
- [11] V. G. Drinfeld, *Quantum Groups*, in “International Congress of Mathematicians (Berkeley, 1986)”, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 1987, pp. 798–820.
- [12] V. G. Drinfeld, *A new realization of Yangians and quantized affine algebras*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **36** (1988), 212–216.
- [13] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation theory: a first course*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [14] P. P. Kulish and E. K. Sklyanin, *On the solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation*, J. Soviet Math. **19** (1982), 1596–1620.

- [15] P. P. Kulish and E. K. Sklyanin, *Quantum spectral transform method: recent developments*, in “Integrable Quantum Field Theories”, Lecture Notes in Phys. **151**, Springer, Berlin, 1982, pp. 61–119.
- [16] A. I. Molev, *Finite-dimensional irreducible representations of twisted Yangians*, J. Math. Phys. **39** (1998), 5559–5600.
- [17] A. I. Molev, *Yangians and their applications*, in “Handbook of Algebra”, Vol. 3, (M. Hazewinkel, Ed.), Elsevier, 2003.
- [18] A. Molev, M. Nazarov and G. Olshanski, *Yangians and classical Lie algebras*, Russian Math. Surveys **51:2** (1996), 205–282.
- [19] A. I. Molev and E. Ragoucy, *Representations of reflection algebras*, Rev. Math. Phys. **14** (2002), 317–342.
- [20] M. Nazarov, *Yangians and Capelli identities*, in “Kirillov’s Seminar on Representation Theory”, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **181**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 1998, pp. 139–163.
- [21] M. Nazarov, *Yangian of the queer Lie superalgebra*, Commun. Math. Phys. **208** (1999), 195–223.
- [22] E. Ogievetsky, N. Reshetikhin and P. Wiegmann, *The principal chiral field in two dimensions on classical Lie algebras*, Nucl. Phys. **B280** (1987), 45–96.
- [23] N. Yu. Reshetikhin, L. A. Takhtajan and L. D. Faddeev, *Quantization of Lie Groups and Lie algebras*, Leningrad Math. J. **1** (1990), 193–225.
- [24] L. A. Takhtadzhian and L. D. Faddeev, *Quantum method of the inverse problem and the Heisenberg XYZ-model*, Russian Math. Surv. **34** (1979), no. 5, 11–68.
- [25] V. O. Tarasov, *Structure of quantum L-operators for the R-matrix of the XXZ-model*, Theor. Math. Phys. **61** (1984), 1065–1071.
- [26] V. O. Tarasov, *Irreducible monodromy matrices for the R-matrix of the XXZ-model and lattice local quantum Hamiltonians*, Theor. Math. Phys. **63** (1985), 440–454.
- [27] A. B. Zamolodchikov and Al. B. Zamolodchikov, *Factorized S-matrices in two dimensions as the exact solutions of certain relativistic quantum field models*, Ann. Phys. **120** (1979), 253–291.